IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

CENTRAL DIVISION

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID NUFFER

August 9, 2012

Reporter's Transcript of Jury Trial

Laura W. Robinson, CSR, RPR, CP, FCRR
Kelly Brown Hicken, CSR, RPR, RM
350 S. Main Street
144 U.S. Courthouse
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-2180
(801)328-4800

Case 2:08-cv-00921-DN Document 308 Filed 09/19/12 PageID.4176 Page 2 of

177

Appearances of Counsel:

For the Plaintiff: Thomas R. Karrenberg

Heather M. Sneddon Attorneys at Law Anderson & Karrenberg

50 W. Broadway Suite 700

Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Brett P. Johnson Attorney at Law

StorageCraft Technology Corp

11850 Election Road

Suite 120

Draper, Utah 84020

For the Defendant: Richard F. Ensor

Attorney at Law Vantus Law Group

6995 Union Park Center

Suite 100

Salt Lake City, Utah 84047

I N D E X <u>Examinations</u>		<u>Page</u>
CROSS-EXAMINATION		10
BY MR. ENSOR REDIRECT EXAMINATION		80
BY MR. KARRENBERG RECROSS-EXAMINATION		91
BY MR. ENSOR		
SCOTT DIREC	94 94	
BY MS. SNEDDON		
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ENSOR		134
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS SNEDDON		163
RECROSS-EXAMINATION		169
BY MR. ENSOR FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION		173
BY MS. SNEDDON		170
PATRICK KILBOURNE DIRECT BY MR. KARRENBERG CROSS BY MR. ENSOR REDIRECT BY MR. KARRENBERG RECROSS BY MR. ENSOR FURTHER DIRECT BY MR. KARRENBERG		178 202 226 230 232
BRETT JOHNSON DIRECT BY MR. ENSOR CROSS BY MR. KARRENBERG REDIRECT BY MR. ENSOR RECROSS BY MR. KARRENBERG SEALED PORTION SEALED PORTION		236 240 243 245 192 218
	EXHIBITS	
No.	Description	<u>Page</u>
	Plaintiff's Exhibit 33 Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 Plaintiff's Exhibit 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 13 Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 Plaintiff's Exhibit 24 Plaintiff's Exhibit 26 Plaintiff's Exhibit 28 Plaintiff's Exhibit 30 Plaintiff's Exhibit 223 Plaintiff's Exhibit 224 Plaintiff's Exhibit 224 Plaintiff's Exhibit 165	108 110 112 113 114 115 117 118 119 120 145 169 182

Salt Lake City, Utah August 9, 2012

THE COURT: Good morning. Do you know if we have the jury here this morning, Anndrea? Do you know if we have the jury here?

THE CLERK: We do.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything we ought to handle before we bring the jury in?

MR. KARRENBERG: Two quick things, Your Honor. One, I'm just assuming we have some issues with attorney's fees and we stipulate those can be dealt with post verdict. Any problem with that?

MR. ENSOR: I think my understanding would be that the decision on attorney's fees would be the Court's decision.

THE COURT: Right. I will take the issue of attorney's fees following the verdict.

MR. KARRENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. And just going through the jury instructions last night, I noticed, it is not a big thing, but Instruction Number 20 is about us introducing a request for admission. So far we haven't had to do that. I don't know if it is going to be confusing to keep it in or not.

THE COURT: We'll take it out and we'll also take out the question about the judge asking questions.

MR. KARRENBERG: That was the second one I had because

you haven't had to do that yet anyway. 1 2 THE COURT: Those are just in case instructions. 3 MR. KARRENBERG: Thank you, sir. THE COURT: We also won't have to give the instruction 4 5 about censuring counsel in front of the jury. That hasn't 6 come up. 7 MR. KARRENBERG: Well not yet. MR. JOHNSON: Not yet. 8 9 MS. SNEDDON: The day is young. 10 THE COURT: Anything you have, Mr. Ensor, before we 11 get the jury? 12 MR. ENSOR: I gave Mr. Karrenberg and Ms. Sneddon a 13 patent from IBM on sector tracking that I planned on using 14 with Mr. Barnes yesterday morning. I brought the tag pull up from the website from the PTO if the Court wants to take 15 16 judicial notice. I don't know if Mr. Karrenberg or 17 Ms. Sneddon or Mr. Karrenberg have an objection or if that 18 is even necessary. MS. SNEDDON: Your Honor, we do plan to object to 19 20 those exhibits. They were given to us yesterday, they 21 weren't identified in the pretrial disclosures. They're 22 patents. They have nothing to do with the copyright case. 23 We don't see the relevance. 24 THE COURT: How do they tie in, Mr. Ensor? 25 MR. ENSOR: It is a patent from 2003 by IBM that

directly talks about incremental sector tracking, part two of the trade secret. I mean it is public knowledge, you can pull it up on the PTO. As soon as I found it, as part of my work on Tuesday night, I gave it to them Wednesday morning and the pretrial order recognized that there might be additional documents that come up and we do our best to get it to each other.

THE COURT: Do you intend to use it on cross of Mr. Barnes?

MR. ENSOR: That is my intent, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you have any indication -- well, I am going to let you use it on cross of Mr. Barnes and ask questions about it. Whether it is admitted or not is another question that I haven't confronted yet and we'll see what his testimony about it is.

MR. ENSOR: Your Honor, in that case, can I give you the URL link to it so if you wish to take judicial notice of it you can?

THE COURT: That is a separate issue as well. So whether I'm going to take judicial notice of it, because I'm not deciding any issues that have to do with it, and I'm not inclined to instruct the jury on it. If it works in testimony you'll be able to use it. Let's see where it goes with Mr. Barnes.

MR. ENSOR: I guess my concern is if he says he

doesn't, has never seen it, and I don't know whether he has or he hasn't, it still is a valid U.S. patent and there is no question about its authenticity.

THE COURT: Authenticity.

MR. ENSOR: Authenticity at all. So it ought to -and the only objection is relevance. But clearly it
undercuts this idea that the incremental sector tracking is
something that no one else can ever figure out.

THE COURT: I'll deal with the question when it comes up. If he doesn't recognize it, you ask me to take judicial notice. Give me the -- I have no reason to doubt that if there is a URL it is really there, and if it is a patent, you don't dispute it is a patent?

MS. SNEDDON: I don't believe so. And honestly I haven't pulled it up. But I assume that what Mr. Ensor is representing is true. You know that said, you know, we have -- we think it will be confusing for the jury. I don't know what Mr. Barnes is going to be able to say about it. It is not a StorageCraft patent and there is no -- there is certainly no dispute on our end that there are other -- there are other types of products that are out there that use incremental sector tracking. This case is about how StorageCraft does it.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ENSOR: But my response to that, Your Honor, would

be that more people that use it out there the more likely it is Mr. Campbell's testimony is truthful. He wrote it himself.

THE COURT: Well, let's -- let's see how it comes out with Mr. Barnes. And there are two issues there. First of all, I'm saying that you can ask him questions about it.

Second, I am not saying whether it would be received as an exhibit or that I will take judicial notice of it. So that will come out. And we'll deal with it right at the time.

Somehow these things ripen and become clear. Are there other issues, Mr. Ensor?

MR. ENSOR: Your Honor, I still have a problem with the way the reasonable royalty jury instruction is written and my position is clear we briefed it again last night.

THE COURT: That it has to be use.

MR. ENSOR: Yeah. Other than that I have nothing else.

THE COURT: I agree with you there. And I'm sure I will be hearing motions on that for a while. So the point is well made. Okay. Let's bring the jury in.

Somehow these microphones always get in the way. When I turn pages I hit them. When I stand up. The witness microphone is terrible that way.

MR. KARRENBERG: The new courthouse hopefully will have it figured out.

THE COURT: I think we'll just have a new set of 1 2 problems over there. Gosh, that is on the record. 3 MR. ENSOR: Move to strike. THE COURT: Your motion is granted, Mr. Ensor. We are 4 5 doing an experiment here this morning counsel with realtime 6 court reporting. Laura Robinson, who is here, is a 7 Certified Realtime Reporter, and we have discovered within 8 the last couple of days that there is an iPad ap that will 9 let me read her realtime transcript. And we hope to get the 10 bugs worked out and have it available to counsel in the 11 future. 12 MR. KARRENBERG: Oh. 13 MR. ENSOR: I didn't know that. Great. 14 THE COURT: It is brand new. 15 MR. KARRENBERG: Part of the problem with that is then 16 you end up paying attention to the screen and not to the 17 witness. 18 THE COURT: That is why there are two of you. I don't 19 know how Mr. Ensor does it. 20 MR. ENSOR: One eye on each, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. 22 THE CLERK: All rise for the jury. 23 (Whereupon, the jury returned to the courtroom.) 24 THE COURT: Good morning. We're convened again in 25 StorageCraft versus Kirby. The jury is now present.

will resume this morning with the cross-examination of Mr. Kirby by Mr. Ensor. Go ahead, please.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ENSOR:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Kirby.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. What year were you born?
- A. 1964.
- Q. And about when did you start writing or developing software?
 - A. Oh, probably around 1976 or '78.
 - Q. So sometime in your mid teens?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
 - Q. And how did you get started doing that?
- A. Um, I stumbled into a Radio Shack with my parents once and saw these new computer things that had come out. And I had always been a technical guy, I was a big Star Trek fan, and I just thought they were really cool. And I started going to the Radio Shack on the weekends. And the guy that owned the local Radio Shack was generous enough to let me stay in there all day Saturday and all day Sunday reading the programming books and I would stand in the corner. That is how I started to learn to program.
- Q. And from Radio Shack, where was it -- were you able to get better access to better technology?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. During high school, they finally built a computer lab in our school, and it was, you know, basic simple Radio Shack computers, no graphics, not very sophisticated. After I graduated, the summer before I went off to college, my mother was a director of early childhood development at our local college, excuse me, and they had a computer programming department. So my mom went to the director of that department and said, you know, can my son come in here sometimes over the summer and kind of play with computers. It is something he likes to do. And he is thinking about doing that for a career. So I think I was five days a week, ten hours a day, for three months, you know, I was in there every day and I met some other programmers who were taking classes. So we all kind of got to know each other and, you know, it was kind of like a little community. So I spent almost all of my time, from the summer before college, just learning how to program, to get better and better at what I do.

- Q. Did you write any full programs over that summer?
- A. I did. I wrote a program that we called it Micro Test and it was just a little program that teachers at the school could use to administer tests to other students on the computer. It was very simple, nothing fancy, but, you know, I was kind of proud of it. It was my first real complete development effort that wasn't just playing around

on the computer.

- Q. And you actually hit run and it worked?
- A. Oh, yes. The school used it for a while.
- Q. Okay. And then you went off to college?
- A. I did.
- Q. Where did you go to school?
- A. I went to Louisburg College. It was an -- I had planned on going into medicine, um, I was interested in that field. I was one of the youngest people ever certified as an Emergency Medical Technician in the State of North Carolina. I had a passion for that sort of thing, too.

So when I was real young, I started like a junior fire department in my town and sort of got all of the kids together and got the local sheriff's department and fire department to back us and so that is what I was going to do. And I went and took some classes. And when I was 16, um, the local guy that ran the course for certifying Emergency Medical Technicians allowed me to come in and take the class. And he said you have to be 17 years old to get certified, but the certification lasts for two years. So he said if you come in at 16 and you take the class and you finish it, you know, when you turn 17 we'll issue the certification. So I was the youngest person at that time to ever be certified as an Emergency Medical Technician.

Q. Where did you go off to college?

A. Louisburg College.

- Q. How long did you stay there?
- A. I was there for -- it was a two year college, I did three semesters.
- Q. Tell me about where -- did you get involved with software development or writing code while you were there?
- A. I did. Of course they had they had a computer class, it was only one class, it was a basic programming class. And so I went to take that class. And the first day of the class, the professor put the syllabus up on the white board and said these are the subject matters we're going to cover, and these are the programs we're going to write this semester. So I went back that night and completed the whole syllabus in my dorm room, I wrote all of the software, I come back to class the next day and I said I have done my semester's worth of work.
 - Q. What did the professor say?
- A. Well, he wanted to see my work. So he started going through and red-lining stuff, don't do this, you shouldn't do that. This is not how you do that. And at the bottom he wrote very good work and put an A+ on all my programs.
- Q. Well, congratulations. Did you write any programs that you intended to sell or market while you were at college?

- 1 Not while I was at college. After my first 2 semester, our professor who was teaching computer 3 programming got offered a really good job, a little bit more money than the school was paying him, so the Dean of 4 5 Students called me into his office one day and said we would 6 like you to teach the class the next semester. You know, I 7 had never done anything like that in my life. So I agreed 8 to do it. And so the next semester I actually taught the 9 computer programming class at my school. 10 Q. Just take it -- the court reporter needs to take down every word and when you're moving quickly it is a 11 12 little bit hard. 13 Α. Sorry.
 - Q. But we do also want to cover a lot of ground quickly so it is sort of competing interests. You left Louisburg after three or four semesters?
 - A. Three semesters.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And at that point, did you continue with the computer writing code, developing software?
- A. I did. I met a guy that I had met when I was working in the computer lab at the college before I -- the college my mom worked at before I went off to school --
- Q. Mr. Kirby, just so we have a benchmark, about what year are we talking about here?
 - A. 1980 -- let's see I went -- I graduated high

school in 1982.

- Q. Okay. So I apologize for cutting you off so about 1984 you were -- you were working with this guy you met in the computer lab at college?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. What did you -- what did you do with him?
- A. Um, he had a small software company working out of his apartment. And he had started working on a couple of projects. And so he asked me if I would come in and help him. And so we developed, at that time, two products. One was called Vidicat, and it was a software package that would manage video stores, the rentals, you know, all of the billing, which titles were coming in and out of the store. So that was the first product that we developed.

Then we developed a product to manage pawn shops. I lived near Fort Bragg which is a big army base and there were lots of pawn shops. And so my friend and I said why don't we -- there are lots of legal issues with running a pawn shop. Accountability, tracking merchandise that comes in and out, and those reports have to be given to local police and things like that.

So we developed a software package that did that, that managed pawn shops, the tracking of inventory coming in and out, things like that.

Q. And did you and your business colleague actually

go out and try to sell these programs to video stores in the pawn shops?

A. Yes, we did.

- Q. Are were you successful?
- A. Yes, we were. We didn't get rich but, you know, it was fun.
- Q. Now, Mr. Kirby except for your time in the energy drink business, 2005 up to 2009, prior to that time you were involved in the software development industry the entire time?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And I just want to go quickly through sort of the middle of your career leading up to when you got more involved with backup technology.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. So real quick, if you could hit the highlights of say well after you left North Carolina you went to Florida, didn't you?
- A. That is correct. I took a job with a software company called Precision Software. They were a wholly owned subsidiary of the Home Shopping Network.
 - Q. What did you do for them?
- A. We developed a system call Tootie. And Tootie was a system when you were watching the Home Shopping

 Network on television and you want to place an order once

you have an account, you dial on your phone your account number, then you can put in I want to buy this product and this number, so it was all driven through your telephone so that you could place orders. And we built -- it was -- it wasn't Windows, we had to write our own operating system. We had to write everything. But we built the hardware ourselves, custom hardware, and there were racks of them in rooms because millions of people were calling Home Shopping Network and placing orders every day. So --

- Q. And after the Home Shopping Network, you moved up to New Jersey where you worked for Dun & Bradstreet?
- A. That is correct. I worked for Dun & Bradstreet as a --
- Q. Dun & Bradstreet is a big company related to the finance industry?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And how long did you work for Dun & Bradstreet?
 - A. I believe it was almost three years.
 - Q. And what did do you for Dun & Bradstreet?
- A. They wanted me to help them build a new product for credit reporting. I managed a team of five developers and we built a product. And one of our biggest clients at the time was DuPont. Our first install was 30,000 computers in Wilmington, Delaware for the software.
 - Q. How long were you with Dun & Bradstreet?

- A. Again, almost three years.
- Q. And then you were lucky enough to go to Rome, right?
- A. That is correct. A friend of mine who lived in Austin had gotten a job with IBM and they sent him to Rome, Italy. And he had been there for about six months and he called me and said, you know, how would you like to come to Rome and write software? And, of course, I was 25, 26 years old and that was the greatest opportunity of my life. So I packed my bags and off to Europe I went.
 - Q. And then you worked for IBM for nine months?
 - A. Nine months; that is correct.
 - Q. In Rome?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And you were writing code?
- A. That is correct, device drivers.
- Q. Were you also being involved in the architecture of the software at this time?
- A. Not so much at IBM. IBM, you know, I was -- I was green in a big company like that, you know, with real power house technologies. I was a senior software developer, but it was actually my friend was my boss.
- Q. What is the difference between software development and software architecture because those terms have been tossed around a little bit?

A. Actually, in the building business they're very analogous. The architect in -- when you build a house the architect comes in, draws up the plans, decides how everything is supposed to look, how it is supposed to connect together, that is meets the requirements. And the developer would be the equivalent of the contractor or the builder. They would take the architecture's plan -- Q. Slow down a little bit.

- A. I'm sorry. So the builder is like the developer. They look at the architect's plan and they say okay, let's go build this, build the product.
- Q. And back in IBM and the others, you were more of a developer as opposed to the architect?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. Now, you stayed in Italy after IBM?
- A. Yes, I did, for maybe a year. A little over a year.
 - Q. And you opened a company?
- A. That is correct. I started a company with an Italian friend of mine the company we called PrinTel.
 - Q. About what year was this?
 - A. It was the early 90s, maybe 1992.
 - Q. And ball park is fine?
 - A. '92, '93 time frame.
 - Q. This was sort of your first foray into sort of

the back-up technology concepts?

A. It was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And what was the idea that PrinTel was going to bring into the market?
- I had come up with a concept of being able to write a device driver that there -- as you saw in the evidence, there are these things called zip files. And a zip file is an archive file where you can take a whole bunch of files and compress them down and put them in one file so that those files can be easily transferred and e-mailed or copied or moved around on CDs and stuff like that. If you wanted to access those files, you had to decompress those files which means that you had to have a lot of disk space to copy that data. I came up with a device driver that would allow the system to think that that zip file was actually a disk so that you could actually just -- you could go into your like Explorer and Windows and you could browse through your zip file and run programs from it and things like that. Those features are all built into Windows now, but I was the first person to build technology like that.
- Q. And you were actually -- you actually wrote the code for a driver to do all of that?
 - A. I wrote 100 percent of all of it myself.
- Q. And was this your -- was this your million dollar idea? Did it take off?

- A. I thought it was and I was very excited about it.

 I scraped up a bunch of money and from some friends of mine
 and we ran ads in PC Magazine. About two months after we
 released the product, Microsoft came out with something very
 similar that was free and my business dried up overnight and
 so I had to move on.
- Q. And one of the places you moved on to is a fairly large company called Stack?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. That is in California?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And are they in the back-up industry?
- A. At the time I joined, they were not. They were in the data storage business. They had a very famous software package called Stacker. And when you loaded Stacker on the computer, it would double the size of your hard drive. The founders of Stack owned all kinds of patents on compression technology so they had built this data storage compression. And then eventually Stack went out and purchased a back-up company, I believe they were from Florida, and so they said we want -- we wanted to bring this code up, make it more modern, we want it to now run under Windows 98 and we want it to run under Windows NT.
- Q. This is the code from the back-up company that they bought?

- A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. That is where you came into the picture?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And did you help write code and develop code for Stack?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. How long were you there?
- A. I was at Stack between two and a half, maybe two and a half years, I think. I don't remember exactly. In this business you don't stay anywhere very long. You need to get experience so you move on.
- Q. In fact, after Stack you got to go to the UK for a couple of weeks and do some code writing there for a big UK company; isn't that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And then now that kind of gets us to the area of where your company, not StorageCraft Technology Company the merged company, but your original company StorageCraft, Inc.; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. How did StorageCraft, Inc. come about?
- A. A friend and I started the business. At the time we called it MKA and Associates that was Magnuson Kirby and Associates. My friend's name was Tom Magnuson and we started a consulting business for software. And our first

project was the UK project which paid very well and I got to go to Europe again three or four times during that summer to work on that project.

- Q. Did MKA eventually become StorageCraft, Incorporated?
- A. That is correct. We wanted to come up with a more brandable marketing name that sort of told more about what our company did because we were in data storage and back-up kind of thing so I came up with the name StorageCraft.
 - Q. Who was the Magnuson in MKA?
- A. A friend of mine who handled the business side of things. I have known him since I was a teenager. He was sort of like a surrogate father to me because my father traveled for his business a lot so he wasn't home a lot.
- Q. Did Mr. Magnuson eventually kind of bow out of StorageCraft, Inc.?
- A. Yes, he did. He was starting a nonprofit at the time and he wanted to dedicate himself full-time to that.
- Q. Now, I'm going to get to the VSnap code in a second but what was StorageCraft, Inc. doing? Well, I know the ball park, but when was StorageCraft, Inc. when did it come into existence?
- A. I believe it was -- I think we started working on forming the company around December of 1999 and I think we

formed the corporation in 2000, January 2000, or in that time frame. I don't remember the exact dates.

- Q. Now, we're going to get to VSnap code in a second, but what was StorageCraft, Inc. doing between the time it was formed and the time VSnap came along?
 - A. Consulting work. I started --
 - Q. Who were you working for?

- A. Um, gosh, I had so many clients I did work for Compaq, I did work for Fujitsu, you know, many companies. It is hard, you know, eventually we had so many projects coming in that I had to hire Max and then I eventually had to hire Alexey and Denis. We worked on a massive project for it was a collaboration between Quantum, the hard drive manufacturer, and a company called Jabil Circuit, they are a publicly traded company that builds hardware components for people and it was a storage product.
- Q. The late 90s was a pretty exciting time in the technology field, wasn't it?
 - A. Yeah, early 2000s.
- Q. Now, let's go to the VSnap. Do you recall how that idea came to you?
- A. Yes. I was working for a company in San Diego, consulting through our business. The company was called St. Bernard Software. And they had a product called open file manager, it was OFM. And it was the precursor to a current

modern day SnapShot technology, but it was file based SnapShotting and not a disk based SnapShotting. So as the back-up is going through files to make the back-up, it would grab a file, if the file was open it would make a SnapShot of the file, it would back-up the file and it would let the SnapShot of the file go and it would go to the next file. So that was the precursor to modern day image based SnapShotting.

- Q. You were consulting for a company who did SnapShotting the old way?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
 - Q. I take it VSnap is the new way?
- A. Yes. I was driving home on the 15 one afternoon from that job, and it was just one of those crazy moments.

 I had this epiphany. All of a sudden this image popped in my head. I said I know how to do this. I was so excited I had to pull off on the side of the freeway and I had to call one of my friends. I was jumping up and down and saying you can't believe what I just figured out how to do.
 - Q. You actually pulled over on the side of the I-15?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
 - Q. Who did you call?
 - A. I called my friend Paul from UltraBac.
 - Q. What did you tell Paul?
 - A. I said I figured it out, you know, and I just had

to tell someone. I just had to tell someone that understood what I was talking about. I couldn't go home and like tell my wife guess what I did because she wouldn't understand the technology. So I had to call so I called my friend Paul. I had done work -- StorageCraft had done work for Paul and actually some old style SnapShotting technology file based as well before this. So I already had a good working relationship. He owns a company that had a back-up product. It was an image based back-up product. So he was the only person I could think of that I could call at that moment where I could express the excitement I was having.

- Q. It sounds like you were pretty excited?
- A. I was very excited. I couldn't drive. I had to pull over. I would have crashed.
 - Q. So you carefully merged back on to I-15, right?
- A. After about 10 minutes I talked to Paul, I calmed down, got back on the road, drove home and started writing code.
- Q. So you were actually writing the SnapShot code for VSnap?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And how many days did it take you to do that?
- A. I am going to say somewhere between seven and 10 days. I basically worked for a solid week with hardly any sleep, writing code, almost there and then my wife and

I -- I am guessing this was probably around October or November of that year, I don't remember the year.

Q. Which year?

- A. Oh, that is a tough question. I don't remember. 2000, 2001, something like that. It was in that time frame. And so any way, you know, I started working on the code and then my wife and I had to fly to Cleveland because it was thanks -- it was right around Thanksgiving time and so we had to --
- Q. Mr. Kirby, was that the year of 9-11? Does that refresh your recollection on what year it was?
- A. It may have been. That may have been the year before 9-11. It may have been 2000 because we were flying to Cleveland, I had my laptop, and I was getting close to finishing the code. And I had finally got the code and I ran a test and it worked. And I was so excited I got up and started running up and down the aisle of the airplane. Had that been post 9-11 I probably would have been arrested.
- Q. So you actually -- you worked on it for 10 days or whatever straight, and then you're on airplane you hit run and it works?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, just so we're clear, writing code is a work in progress, right?
 - A. That is correct, yes.

- Q. And the VSnap code writing development didn't stop when you hit run on the airplane, it continued forward afterwards?
- A. Oh, yes. I mean it needed to be tested, compatibility with lots of software, with lots of versions. It was more like proof of concept. Here is the code, it works. I can take a SnapShot, I can make a back-up, the SnapShot is consistent. It crashed occasionally, you know, I would run a back-up ap and I would get a blue screen. I mean those are expected that early in the development of a product, but it was working. And so I knew that the idea was valid. And I knew that I was on to something.
- Q. By the time you are going up and down the airplane not getting arrested, you think you had already retained the Russian engineers Alexey, Denis and Max?
- A. I for sure had retained Max because we had and Denis because we had worked on that project for Quantum and Jabil. And Jabil Circuit came to us and said it doesn't matter what it costs to get this project done. Hire whoever you have to. So at that time we hired -- I know we hired -- already had Mark, I know we hired Denis, and I think maybe six months or three months after that, somewhere in that time frame, we then also brought in Alexey. And we were billing an enormous amount of hours through these developers because Jabil Circuit said put everything you had into this

project so we did.

- Q. Now, Max -- of these three Russian developers, which one is the most talented?
- A. They're all talented. Max was the most efficient as far as being able to do the most amount of work, and quality work in the shortest amount of time. They were all good developers, very good developers.
- Q. And as far as work on the VSnap, which of these -- can you explain to the jury how these three Russian engineers helped you take the idea from the airplane and move it forward?
- A. Right. And it didn't happen immediately. I didn't have really a customer for VSnap at that time, and we had other projects we were working on. So I couldn't immediately take everybody off of their projects. So we finished up the projects that we were working on with our various clients, and 9-11 came about. And after 9-11, every bit of our contract work dried up. Nobody was spending any money. We couldn't pick up contracts anywhere.
- Q. So up to the time between the work on the plane and 9-11, are you working on VSnap?
- A. In the background, spare time, you know, whenever I had a chance to go into it and tinker with it and work on it. But mostly we were working on things that were paying the bills at that time.

- Q. And after 9-11 it sounds like the development of VSnap really took off?
- A. I made a commitment. I talked to my friend Paul and I said would you be interested in licensing this if we complete it? And he goes sure I'll license it from you. So I got the first thing I did is handed all of the software, the driver over to Max, and I said this is now your project. So you need to you're going to be the owner of the driver, the VSnap driver, so you need to work on that. So for six months or so, September to January, he worked very, very diligently, both of us did, on the project.
 - Q. Denis, Alexey, Max and you?
 - A. Yes, Max and I.

- Q. You were -- so you worked on the VSnap project.
 What about Denis and Alexey, were they helping you guys out?
- A. At that time, no, they weren't. Because with device driver software, you almost have to have one developer to do it. It is hard to have two people working on a single component that is so intricate. You really need to generally at least the way I have managed software development, is I would have one person working on a driver. If you have three or four people working on a device driver, it can be problematic. You know, with other types of software, it is not that big of a deal. Somebody makes a

bug and checks it in. But with driver software, it is very sophisticated, it is very delicate. So you really don't want a whole bunch of developers working on a device driver. You want to have one good person that does that development work.

Q. Okay. And Mr. Kirby, you're doing pretty well, but you do want to slow it down a touch. I'm worried she might throw something at you?

THE COURT: Mr. Ensor, you suffer from the same disability.

MR. ENSOR: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So just slow it down.

MR. ENSOR: Yes, Your Honor. Absolutely.

THE COURT: Pretend you're old like me.

MR. ENSOR: I appreciate that. It is one thing to be critical, but note well taken. So thank you, Your Honor. I will slow things down.

- Q. (By Mr. Ensor) Especially on that Crocker transcript, Your Honor, I felt like I was moving pretty quick. But anyway, so it was primarily Max and you?
- A. That is correct. And once we got the software going, my friend Paul licensed it from us. I don't remember exactly what he paid, but I think it was about \$120,000.00 and that gave him full source code access that he could do anything with the software he wanted to. But we also

retained our rights that we could do anything we wanted to.

And since he was the first customer, you know, he had a

little -- a little more liberty with what he could do with

the software than I would say give to subsequent clients.

- Q. And Denis and Alexey were not as involved in the development of the VSnap?
- A. Not at that time. After January, I wanted to do some minor graphical interface. There was no -- there was no user interface to the product. It was strictly designed to be integrated into other back-up products. So since Paul had a back-up application, his programmers could go in and communicate with our SnapShot driver. But there was no front end. There was no way that a normal user could go in and do anything with it.

Then I would ask Denis would he write a shell extension software that -- so that if you right clicked on a driver letter in Windows Explorer, like your C drive or your D drive, it would, in the property dialogue box that comes up, which normally tells you you have how much disk space you have left, we added a tab that said SnapShot. And we had some check boxes and some features that would allow any user to load the software, right click on their drive letter in Explorer and hit the SnapShot button.

Q. And so it sounds like you and Max were pretty heavily involved, and Alexey and Demetri less so?

A. And Denis, yes.

Q. And Denis, yes?

A. Denis, you know, it was such a simple component for the user interface maybe -- maybe Denis had a week or two worth of work in that piece. And then as the project moved forward, we licensed it to Dantz Corporation which was subsequently purchased by EMC. We licensed it to PowerQuest. So as the products started gaining traction, then I started bringing those resources in here and there to do things like, you know, I think at one point I had everybody I had all of the resources dedicated to either testing or developing or working on some component of the software. Because that is what we -- we weren't getting any consulting work at that time so we had to sell what we had.

So by that time, I had the resources working. And when I say full-time, there wasn't enough work for eight hours a day for everybody that was, you know, Max and I were doing the eight hour days and, you know, what little things we needed and also we had to support some of our previous customers that we had as part of our contract. So I had Alexey and Denis were also handling some of that support work during that time. So everybody was busy doing something.

Q. Now you saw, when we talked with Mr. Thomas Shreeve, about part of the business plan of STC was to use

these Russian engineers in Moscow because they were cheaper than U.S. engineers; is that right?

- A. That is correct. That is not why we hired them, but if that was part of their business plan and why they wanted to use them, I can understand that and don't deny that.
- Q. You hired them back in 1999 or 2000 because they were good?
- A. Max was the best I had met and I didn't care if he lived in Oshkosh or Moscow, it didn't matter. I wanted him.
- Q. But the fact of the matter is that they were cheaper?
- A. They were. We paid them more than the typical Russians made any way. I think at that time Max told me that a typical doctor in Russia made \$200 a week and we were paying Max around \$32,000 a year, something like that. And we were good to our employees. When we did the big Quantum project and we made a lot of money, we paid all of the developers involved in that project 50 percent bonus on their salary that year because we were making the money and we wanted to show our appreciation for their hard work.
 - Q. So Max was around 32,000 a year?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And it sounds like one year he might have gotten

a \$16,000 bonus?

Α.

- A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. What about Denis? About what was he at per year?
- A. It was a little bit less than Max, but I don't remember the exact number. Maybe in the 20s maybe.
 - Q. And what about Alexey?
 - A. The same.
 - O. Somewhere in the 20s?
- A. Possibly. I don't remember. They were making less than Max, but we weren't -- we weren't taking advantage of them. We wanted -- we made sure that they were making, you know, what was a respectable wage in Moscow. I think Max was building a summer home with what we were paying him.
- Q. All in, how much do you think it cost your company to develop the VSnap say up to the point where you licensed it to PowerQuest in 2002?

Just resources dedicated to VSnap, if you count

me, maybe 70, \$80,000.00, something like that, maybe.

Again, I am not a business person and all I cared about was developing good software. I wasn't keeping track of hours and who was doing what, and how much it was. So it is a really hard number. But I know what we were paying them.

And even if we were paying, you know, let's be generous and say that Max 32, Denis and Alexey 20 each, you know, 82,000 it would have been, you know, the max that it could have

possibly been in -- per year that was spent on it.

- Q. And Alexey and Denis, Alexey and Denis were not fully dedicated to the VSnap development?
- A. No. They were working on other projects. We had a Microsoft project going on that we eventually picked up some work for. So I kept everybody busy.
- Q. We saw some e-mails on your direct with Mr. Karrenberg. It sounds like e-mailing the entire source code back and forth between you and your developers is fairly standard?
- A. Yes. When I first started the company, we didn't have any source control. First it was just me. So I'm the only one that was accessing the code. I didn't need to share it with anyone. Then after we brought Max on, for a while we were -- we would e-mail source code back and forth. And then eventually I said we brought other developers in. I said we need to have some control over our source now so we installed a SourceSafe system. But that did not preclude us e-mailing source code back and forth. Sometimes the Russians couldn't connect to our server whether it was because there was some problem with the internet connecting across the ocean, or whatever, so what they would do is package up an e-mail and send it, and, of course, e-mail is one of those technologies that if you send an e-mail there is no valid connection right now and it will just sit there

and keep trying and keep trying until a connection comes up. So e-mail was used a lot for sharing code between us and all of the code that we sent our customers was sent in e-mail. We never gave our customers access to our source control.

- Q. And we'll talk about that in a second. But how many e-mails do you think, let's say 2001 to 2002, when the VSnap code was really moving forward, how many e-mails do you think you sent back and forth to Max and the other Russian engineers?
- A. It is really not calculable. It had to be hundreds or thousands.
 - Q. It was a frequent occurrence?
- A. Every day, you know, or every other day. I mean it was just -- it was just how we did business.
- Q. Now, you talked -- you talked a little bit about the source code you send to clients?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. You would also do that by e-mail?
- A. Yes. That was the only way we had to send customers, our customers, their software.
- Q. And we saw some of that with Mr. Karrenberg's examination where he is putting up the e-mails back and forth from PowerQuest?
 - A. That is correct. That was our standard process.
 - Q. And you did that because you didn't want

PowerQuest to have access to your source code bank?

- A. Yeah, and they didn't want it. You know, they never requested it. None of our customers did. And so it was just something we didn't do.
- Q. And then in the early days you had it licensed to UltraBac?
 - A. UltraBac, yeah, we did license it to UltraBac.
- Q. And that was somewhere in the 2001, 2002 time period?
- A. Yeah, I believe that was January. The dates are fuzzy, but I want to think that was around January of 2002. But I'm not perfectly clear on the days. It was a long time ago and I have no documents to --
 - Q. Broadly speaking, what was that license for?
 - A. The VSnap software.
 - Q. And what did UltraBac pay you for that license?
- A. To the best of my recollection I believe it was between 120,000 and \$140,000.00.
- Q. You also negotiated a license with a company called Dantz?
 - A. Yes, that is correct. They contacted us.
 - Q. About what time period was that?
- A. I can't -- I really don't remember. It was probably some time in 2002.
 - Q. Do you recall how much that license was?

1 I do not. It was probably somewhere around 120 2 or \$150,000.00. I don't remember. 3 Let me show you Exhibit 146 which I believe is already in. This is the Dantz agreement? 4 5 Middle 2002. That is the agreement, yes. 6 Let me show you the payment provision. 0. 7 Okay. Α. 8 Can you read that Mr. Kirby? 0. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Dantz pays you \$120,000.00 for the license? Q. 11 That is correct. And then we had an add-on Α. 12 hourly rate for any custom work they wanted to have done. I 13 don't know if we did any custom work, but they wanted to 14 have a provision in the contract that if they wanted us to 15 do -- they wanted us to do work for them that we would agree 16 to do so at a negotiated rate. 17 Q. So the upfront license fee was 120,000? 18 That seems to be correct, yes. 19 And then the next license you -- your company 20 entered into with regard to the VSnap was with PowerQuest? 21 That is correct, yes. Α. 22 That was just a little later than Dantz, right? 0. 23 Yes, it was. Α. 24 Do you recall how much that license was? Q.

That was a more complicated license because it

25

Α.

required a lot of custom work. I think when we, you know, it was around 200 to \$250,000.00 and there was a lot of customization that we had to do. They had a feature that they wanted us to add to the SnapShot software called hot rollback. So we worked on that project for them. So they paid us a little bit more for the license in order for us to do that extra development work for them.

- Q. Are those the three main licenses from the 2001, 2002, 2003 time period?
- A. UltraBac, Dantz, you know, there was one more license. We licensed the architecture to St. Bernard Software. The company that had the file by file SnapShotting technology, once they saw our image based SnapShotting technology, they wanted to license it but they did not want to license the source code. They had a full team of developers, maybe 15, 20 developers, and a full test lab and they said we just would like to license the architecture from you and we will go build our own version of the software. And I think maybe that license was 100 to 120,000 or something. I don't remember the specifics because I wasn't involved in the day-to-day process of that. They licensed the software, they paid us the money, and, you know, we didn't really interact with them on it after that.
- Q. And during this time you're getting -- during this 2000, 2001, 2002 time period, you're getting pretty

good recognition in the industry, aren't you?

- A. Yes. That is the most important thing to me is the -- is, you know, I take the software development seriously in that we want to build the best products.

 Because when you build a good product, it costs you less money down the road. If you build bad software, and you put that on the market, you are going to spend 10 times as much fixing issues that are reported from customers in the field. So I had a strong ethic to create the best software possible so that I didn't have to spend all of the money I made supporting that product down the line.
- Q. And it is during this time period that Microsoft took note of your work?
- A. I don't remember when the MVP came in. I think I was awarded the MVP -- it may have even been before Max joined the company, or it was right after Max joined. So it would have been in the 2000-2001 time frame. And because I had been in the industry for many, many years and, you know, everybody knew who I was.
- Q. How do you go about becoming an MVP? How does everybody know who you were?
- A. You have to -- there is no way you sign up for it. It is something that Microsoft chooses you. And the way they choose you is how you contribute to the community, you know. They look at all of the mailing lists and they

look at the blogs and they look at things like that and see who are the people that are out here helping the most people develop products for our platforms. And they award MVPs to people who do that. So I didn't even -- I mean it came as a complete shock. I got an e-mail one day, you know, you have been selected as a Microsoft MVP, and all of a sudden thousands of dollars of free software, and I was getting briefcases and jackets and, you know, they shower you with gifts when you get those types of awards.

- Q. And then in your little part of the computer development world, there were only how many MVPs at that time?
- A. Once I -- you know, I -- I believe there was 12.

 And I don't remember if that 12 included Max or if it was 12 before Max became an MVP. I don't remember.
- Q. Now, the current owners of StorageCraft, several of them worked at PowerQuest, correct?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And did you have interaction with them due to the license between your company and PowerQuest?
- A. Yes, I had interactions with a guy named Nate

 Bushman. I think he was my -- our primary developer contact

 in the company at that time.
- Q. And at some point the guys from -- some of the guys from PowerQuest, now known as STC, they come to your

attention about wanting to do some business with you?

- A. Yes. I don't remember exactly how the conversation started between Nate and I, but I think I may have once Symantec had purchased PowerQuest, I think I was having some e-mails back and forth with Nate, and I don't remember if I said to him hey, why don't you consider coming to work for StorageCraft or whether he said something. And I believe it was me. And then the next day he comes back and he goes well, I have got some friends here at PowerQuest we would all like to talk to you. And that is when we set up the meeting where the four guys drove up from Utah, up to -- down to California to visit me on my ranch.
 - Q. And who were the four guys?
- A. It was Nate Bushman, Scott Barnes, Kurt James, I don't remember if he was there, and Brandon Nordquist and Russ. Maybe it was five. I don't remember exactly if it was four or five. But I know that -- I know that Nate was there. I know that Scott Barnes was there. And I know Russ Shreeve was there for sure. I remember those three for sure. I believe there was five of them. Four or five of them. They all drove up in a big SUV. I lived up on the top of the mountains, it was kind of tough to get to.
- Q. What did they want to talk to you about? Or strike that. What did you talk about?
 - A. The possibility of forming some sort of a

relationship to work together. You know, um, Russ and I believe it is some of the guys on that side of the fence were the business people that came and said, you know, we are marketing and we helped build the PowerQuest brands and, you know, I think Nate was a software developer. And so, you know, it was -- it was a group of -- they had various talents that we thought they could contribute to our business to help us grow.

Q. And we have gone through in pretty good detail over the last couple of days sort of how the former PowerQuest guys formed their company and how it kind of worked with your company. So I don't want to go over that in much detail at all. What I do want to talk about is how it fell apart.

And Mr. Kirby, this is a November 14, 2004 e-mail from you. It is Plaintiff's Exhibit 61. This was sent on November 14th, 2004?

- A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And this is sent to Roland Whatcott?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And he is at Symantec, right?
- A. Right. He was at PowerQuest and he -- when PowerQuest was purchased by Symantec, he became an employee of Symantec.
 - Q. This is written a couple of weeks after you

resigned from STC, correct?

- A. Correct, yes.
- Q. And only a couple of days after your formal resignation letter?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And in this e-mail, you're talking about your involvement with the former PowerQuest guys over the past year?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. You say right here, I am also sure if there are some NDA violations since their new product requirement specifications for the V21 Protector documentation, I am sure Scott Barnes is using PowerQuest knowledge to achieve this goal. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Now, what basis did you have to tell that to Symantec?
- A. Well, as -- in the fall of that year we started in earnest thinking about building a back-up product. And we were going to -- at that time I think it was code named ShadowBack. Eventually I believe it became ShadowProtect after I left. And I had worked on designing some screenshots. And I am not an artist, so mine were done in text characters with plus marks as corners and little dashes. But I had sort of put together what I thought was a

good layout for an image based back-up product.

And I passed those around to everybody, and I worked on that for maybe a week. And Scott went out and on his own and developed his own graphical user interface and built a prototype under a programming framework under Windows called.NET. And when he started presenting those screenshots, they looked exactly like the screenshots from the company that they just came from. And I argued on numerous occasions I said we don't have to make a product exactly like somebody else's product. We can create our own product, right? I mean we're, you know, those are going to be the requirements for NetJapan and it is like, you know, we don't have to do that, let's just create our own. We can still make a great product. It doesn't have to look exactly like the product from the company you just came from. That stuff made me nervous.

- Q. And then you go a little higher you say, they did this to me, the guy who built the -- well, let's take it back a step. In the top paragraph you talk about some of your issues that you were having with your new partners at StorageCraft; is that right?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And you assert that they stole my company from me and they have acted in an unethical manner. Do you see that?

A. Yes. Yes.

Q. Why did you make that assertion?

A. Well, I want to make it clear I didn't think they stole money from me, right. Mr. Shreeve was very generous to Hannah and I when this company wasn't making money, and he put money in for us so that we could have salaries, so that we could pay our mortgage, so that we could survive. And we were very appreciative of that. And in exchange for that, the original agreement that we had with the guys that came from PowerQuest was they would agree to generate a million dollars in sales in 18 months or they would walk away.

And when Mr. Shreeve started giving us money, you know, to help us meet our financial obligations, to reciprocate that, I accelerated that. I think it was four or five months or so into them working with us, I said we're very appreciative of the money you gave us, we're going to discount, we're just going to throw that 18 month clause out and I'm just going to give you the 49 percent of the company.

So it wasn't a financial issue when I said they stole my company from me. They stole -- the way I looked at it is they took -- they took my soul. I mean I had a way that I had built that company, I had a way that I built software.

That software had a proven track record in the industry.

And I wanted to move the company forward under those same principles. And those principles weren't stealing some idea of somebody else's software to try to duplicate a product somebody else had. So when I speak that they stole my company from me, that is what I meant. I didn't -- it wasn't an -- I didn't mean they took my money from me, right, I mean money is just money.

- Q. Did you feel they had taken control of the software engineering and development and architecture away from you?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. How did they do that?
- A. Well, they were driving Max and Denis and Alexey. They were telling them what to do. I wasn't doing that any more. The job title they gave me was chief scientist.

 Whatever that means. And, you know, basically what I thought that meant is we are going to put you in a backroom and if you come up with a good idea every once in a while, you can tell us about it. And that wasn't, you know, I -- I built that brand and that brand had a certain reputation associated with it. And that is what I wanted to protect, right? When people said StorageCraft in the industry, people knew Jamey Kirby.
- Q. Were you having any other problems with your new partners at this time?

- A. We got into some -- there is always head butting, you know, and I was angry when I left. I won't deny that. I felt like, you know, I had sort of been taken advantage of. Not financially, but just I created this thing, right. And, you know, when the NetJapan money came in they put an at will employment agreement in front of me which meant that they could fire me any time they wanted to. They wanted me to sign non-compete agreements and I'm like I built all of this stuff, this is -- this is my thing. It was an insult to me.
- Q. So they asked you to sign an agreement that would allow STC to fire you and then sign an agreement that says you can't use your skills to go out and make a living?
- A. Not in that technology area, yes. And in addition to that, we had an agreement that all of the members of the company had made back when we had a meeting in Utah that said when the NetJapan -- if we -- Hannah and I said that the only way we would agree to allow it to take the NetJapan investment and to give up 10 percent which would dilute Hannah and I below 31 -- 51 percent which means we lose control, was that if out of that \$1.25 million that we were allocated somewhere between 60 or \$80,000.00 because we hadn't had a real paycheck in over a year, you know, we took dribs and drabs of money to make sure that we could, you know, that we didn't get kicked out of our house. But

other than that, there was no extra money laying around. And so we said that is -- that was a condition, you know, and everybody agreed to do that. And when the NetJapan money came in, they presented these agreements to us. And then I said well what about the 60 to \$80,000.00? And I think it was Jeff Shreeve said oh, we can't do that, there is no way NetJapan would tolerate that, that they weren't -- they wouldn't allow that to happen. We can't do that. So at that point, what could I do? Was I going to stay, you know? They sent Hannah and I both paychecks along with those agreements. We didn't even cash the paychecks. We sent them to our lawyer. We said we don't want the money. We didn't even want to be construed as tacitly agreeing to the terms of the contracts that they put in front of us.

- Q. Now, you also discussed Plaintiff's Exhibit 59 with Mr. Karrenberg?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And you went through it a little bit. This is November 4, 2004, right?
- A. Yes. That is a few days, maybe a week, after -- after I -- Hannah and I had resigned.
 - Q. And how did you orally resign?
- A. Well, we got the paperwork and the documents, I believe it was on the Thursday or a Friday, I don't remember the specific day. And, you know, I sat on it for the -- I

mean I sort of knew when I got the paperwork that it was over. But I wanted, you know, I gave myself the weekend to think about it. And on that Monday morning, Hannah called and talked -- I believe -- I believe Hannah called and talked to Jeff Shreeve and notified him that we were -- we are not going to be working and we're stepping away, we're resigning our board positions, we're not going to cash our paychecks and we're done. Then that following Thursday, Kurt James called me on the phone.

Q. He is with STC?

A. Yes, he is. He is one of the principal founders of STC. And he called me on the phone and said well what can we do to convince you to come back? And I said you could get rid of Russ and Jeff Shreeve and Scott Barnes because I felt like they were the ones who were pushing me out of what I had created and what I had built. And I guess that wasn't an option. So I never heard from anybody at StorageCraft again regarding my termination until I memorialized it. You know, a friend of mine was an attorney and he said, you know, you better put that in writing. So while I was up working for Paul, you know, I wrote a letter that day and, you know, it was dated November -- I guess it was November the 12th or 14th that made it official. And I also wanted NetJapan and all of the members of NetJapan to know. We had only told the principals of StorageCraft, of

STC at the time that we had resigned. And I wanted to make sure that the message was also conveyed to the investor

NetJapan. So it was a combination of those reasons together that my attorney, a friend of mine who was an attorney, he

wasn't acting as my attorney, he said you really should memorialize your resignation in a writing and make sure you copy everyone involved in this thing on what you're doing and why you're doing it.

- Q. And we have looked at that exhibit already?
- A. Yes.

- Q. So this e-mail to your friend, Ralph, what is his last name?
 - A. Shnelbar.
- Q. And this occurs after you have told Kurt James you're not coming back?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you can tell from the first line that you're thinking about opening a new company with Ralph; is that right?
- A. Yeah. We discussed it. He had an image back-up product and a company he was already working on. The product was near completion, but it was very buggy. And he didn't have any integration SnapShotting technology or anything like that. So, you know, he was like well let's -- I had known Ralph for 10 plus years from working with him

through business relationships with other companies that I had worked for, and, you know, so we had a friendly relationship and I think that StorageCraft even licensed him our VSport technology so that he could use it to create a mount driver for his image back-up product. So I had a relationship with him and he was very bright guy.

- Q. Were you under a noncompetition agreement at this time?
 - A. No, I was not.

- Q. Did this company go any where? Did you actually do anything with it?
- A. No, we did not. He already had a company and so I was coming in saying hey, these are some things that we can do. And we talked about it, you know. And a lot of that came out of the frustration and I mean, I think that happened that note was written like I said a couple of days after I had sent my resignations. I was still angry, you know, I did get angry and I was angry that my -- I was losing my baby. And you know, and so I said well I'm going to go start another company and I'm going to compete with these guys because I didn't sign a non-compete.
 - Q. It never went anywhere?
- A. It fizzled out. That anger eventually went away and, you know, it is like, you know, whatever.
 - Q. Now, we talked a good bit about your efforts when

you left STC to try to get rid of the confidential information on your computers. And as you stated in Exhibit 46, you tried to do so right away, didn't you?

- A. Oh, yes. Right after Hannah and I resigned, one of the first things I did was packed up the SourceSafe server that was in my home office.
- Q. That is the server that has all of the source code?
- A. Has all of the source code. Everybody from Utah and Russia and it is where we all connected and we put all of our source code and everything that was related to the projects that we worked on. So it was -- it was the goose that held the golden egg.
 - Q. What did you do with it?
- A. I packaged it up and sent it back to Utah, to StorageCraft immediately.
- Q. And you also remember trying to scrub your e-mails?
- A. Well, yes. I removed all of the source code on my hard drive, you know, because when you build software you check out copies of the source code so it resides on your local hard drive. So I removed all of that because I didn't want any of it. I went through and did a preliminary scrub of my e-mails. I was really looking for source code. I wasn't really looking for e-mails between me and Max

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

because I just wanted to make sure I got rid of the source code. So thousands and potentially thousands of e-mails that were passed around that had source code on it. Obviously I missed 10 of them, or whatever the number is, you know. I mean I had over 100,000 e-mails in my inbox, and, you know, when you do a search and the search result comes back with 10,000 items, and you are sitting there trying to go through each one of them, I mean, I guess I could have spent 24 hours a day for the next two years looking at every single e-mail to decide what it was. But, I mean, I think that was a bit of too much of a burden. I tried to go through and get what I could. And I certainly -- I mean I'm the creative type, right? The idea of taking work I had done before, or somebody else's work product and using it again as my work product is not how creative people think. It is not what I -- I didn't want the code, right? I could always go and create something better if I wanted to. That is what I do. And I mean I understand there are people like the guys at StorageCraft who aren't the creative mind set, you know, that don't know how to go out and innovate at the level that I do, right? And so, you know, I know they have to hold on to what they had with their hands. To me I was okay to let it go. Fine, I will always invent something else. That is just what I do.

- Q. Did you try to get all of the source code off your --
- A. I absolutely did. I didn't want that stuff and obviously it was there. I apologize for it. I am -- I fall on my sword, you know, whatever, do what you have to do to me. It is not intentional. It would have never been intentional. It is just not how I do business. It was an accident.
- Q. And we know now that you had -- StorageCraft had also had already filed suit against you on the date you responded to this e-mail?
 - A. Um --

- Q. You didn't know that, right?
- A. I -- yes. I don't -- I don't remember the specifics.
- Q. Do you remember hiring Jeff Gross to be your lawyer?
- A. I do. I do. I remember being served with the lawsuit on Christmas Eve which I thought was a little bit in bad taste.
 - Q. And then you hired Mr. Gross?
- A. And then -- yes I -- my friend in Florida who owned Columbia Data Products who also had a SnapShotting technology, he has been a friend of mine for years. Again in this industry, especially in the back-up where it gets

very small, everybody knows everybody else, right. 1 2 you're friends with everybody. Even though you're 3 competitors, you're friends, right? You talk, right. Because you do try to help each other out when you can, you 4 5 know. It is like well my software doesn't support that, and 6 we're not going to support it, but gee, you can go down here 7 and talk to my friend Allen in Florida and he has some software that can probably help you. I mean that is how we, 8 9 you know, in that small circle that is how we worked. So 10 any way my friend -- and I'm sorry, I'm talking too fast. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Kirby? 12 THE WITNESS: I'm very sorry. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Kirby, if I were diagramming sentences, I would have so many pairs of parenthesis in that 14 15 sentence. 16 THE WITNESS: I apologize. I am very sorry. I get 17 carried away. 18 THE COURT: No, I want you to listen. I want you not to talk. 19 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 THE COURT: I want you to listen to the question and 22 pause before you answer it and answer the question. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I'm sorry, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Next question. 25 (By Mr. Ensor) So you hired Mr. Gross to Q.

represen	t vou	

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And at some point did you try to gather up any remaining hard copies of stuff that might have related to StorageCraft and give it to Mr. Gross?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And was it your understanding that Mr. Gross was going to turn that stuff over to StorageCraft?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. But at that point you had gone through your office and CDs and printouts and whatever, and it was all gone?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you, in fact, you sent the -- you sent your laptop back to STC, right?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And you sent it certified mail, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And unfortunately, it got lost in the mail; is that right?
 - A. That appears to have happened, yes.
 - Q. As part of Exhibit, Plaintiff's Exhibit 48, this is Plaintiff's Exhibit 48, just so we're clear, it is the Settlement Agreement for the first lawsuit. Do you recognize that, Mr. Kirby?

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. And as part of that, there is a registered receipt from the post office sending a package to Jeff Gross. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And it is your understanding that that was the receipt from the laptop that you were trying to return to STC?
 - A. It could be. I can't look at it and tell.
- Q. Now, we also talked in detail that settlement agreement with Mr. Karrenberg. So let's talk real briefly about selling your shares to NetJapan?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. At the time you were thinking about selling your shares to NetJapan, your understanding was NetJapan already owned 10 percent of the company?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And at the time -- and you still owned 31 percent?
 - A. Something around that amount, yes.
- Q. So if you sold your shares to NetJapan, they would be somewhere around 41 percent?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And that would be the largest shareholder, to your knowledge, in StorageCraft?

Α.

To my knowledge, yes.

- Q. Now, you talked about -- you talked with

 Mr. Karrenberg about how you had competing offers between -
 from NetJapan and StorageCraft, correct?
 - A. That is correct, yes.
- Q. And at the end of the day, you ended up with a price of \$550,000.00 that you sold your 31 percent to NetJapan?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And that was -- you got your 550,000 in a lump sum payment, correct?
 - A. One time payment, yes.
- Q. And whereas the deal with StorageCraft, they were going to give you 100,000 lump sum and then pay you \$50,000.00 a month for the next 12 months; is that right?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. So how did you analyze those two offers and why did you go with NetJapan?
- A. NetJapan gave me the impression that StorageCraft wasn't selling software, that the valuation of the company was incorrect because they weren't selling software, and that, you know -- so I said well they may not be around in 12 months. They may not be around in three months to continue to make the payments. And so I wanted the money all in one lump sum. That is why I accepted NetJapan's

offer.

- Q. So as of the date you sell it, late 2005, you're not working for STC, you don't have any shares, what do you go do?
- A. I thought about developing another computer company. We were considering building a hardware back-up and storage appliance.
 - Q. How far did you get into that process?
- A. I spent six months tinkering with it. Spent maybe \$15,000 buying some prototype hardware, stuff like that.
 - Q. Did you get very far into that process?
 - A. Not really.
 - Q. What was your next endeavor after that?
- A. I went to Las Vegas to meet a guy, who was a friend of a friend, who has expressed interest in investing in this new hardware endeavor. The guy I met was also in the energy drink business. And we sat and had a meeting for a couple of hours that night and I was with a good friend of mine. As we were walking out of the hotel, I looked at my friend and I said I am done with computers. I am going to enter the beverage industry.
 - Q. And about what time period was that?
- A. Oh, it had been fall of 2005, maybe October, November time frame.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Document 308 Filed 09/19/12 PageID.4236 Page 62 of And after you decided to get out of the computer business, do you open a -- do you start an energy drink business? Yes, I did. Α. What was that called? 0. Redux Beverages. Α. And how long was Redux your primary focus? Ο. From probably October, November of 2005 until Α. March of 2009. And March 2009 is when you got more actively Q. involved in the ActiveImage Protector product? I actually did get involved. I wasn't really involved at all before then, I answered a couple of e-mails from NetJapan. So for that three and a half year time period, all of 2006, all of 2007, all of 2008, you were more focused
 - on your energy drink business?
 - Α. That is correct. I did not write one line of code.
 - That was your full-time job? Q.
 - It was. Yes, it was.
 - Now, we heard a bit about Mr. Crocker and I'm not Ο. going to replow the same terrain Mr. Karrenberg has plowed, but I do want to talk about a couple of things. I mean I want to talk about what did you know about -- strike that.

NetJapan told you, and we have looked at the e-mails, that David Crocker was their representative, correct?

A. That is correct, yes.

- Q. And you knew that NetJapan was a major shareholder in StorageCraft?
 - A. Yes, I was still under the impression they were.
- Q. And we have looked at e-mails where Mr. Crocker e-mails you in late October, and then we have read some deposition testimony where he called you immediately after the board meeting, the STC board meeting in Salt Lake when Mr. Crocker was in San Francisco. Did Mr. Crocker ever -- I know this is a long time ago, did he ever make representations to you about whether he was on the board or going to be on the board of StorageCraft?
- A. To the best of my recollection, he told me he was on the board. I can imagine how else I would have known.
- Q. So when Mr. Crocker came out to see you in December of 2006, you knew he worked for NetJapan, NetJapan was a major shareholder of STC, and that Mr. Crocker had told you that he was on the board or was going to be on the board?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Did Mr. Crocker ever tell you that he -- he was on the board for just a week and then had left?
 - A. No. He never expressed that to me.

- Q. And you gave him, as Mr. Karrenberg pointed out, your entire life of e-mails, right?
 - A. That is correct, yes.

- Q. And that would have included some stuff with your lawyer Jeff Gross about the first e-mail, about the first lawsuit, right?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Did you really think about that when you stuck that disk in there and burned the PST for Mr. Crocker?
- A. I had no idea that you couldn't share your e-mails with your attorney with somebody without losing your privacy rights. I wasn't a lawyer.
 - Q. It wasn't really a consideration, right?
 - A. It didn't -- no, I didn't know.
 - Q. Why did you burn the PST file?
- A. He wanted documents. He asked me for documents relating to the time when I was being sued. I had all of my files and e-mails I believe from that time in a folder called StorageCraft off of my inbox. So I had my laptop and I was like well, I can go and drag all these files out, I can put them in the new file, you know, and I can do all this work and I just didn't feel like doing it, right? I was -- I was doing other things. I was having lunch with the guy, but it was just like, you know, take my e-mail file look in the folder called StorageCraft, you'll find the

documents you want, and delete everything else.

- Q. Do you regret giving him that PST file?
- A. I absolutely do.
- Q. Moving forward a couple of years, we all recall the financial crisis of late 2007, early 2008. Do you remember that?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. How did that impact the energy -- well strike that. How did that impact your energy drink business?
 - A. Well, it was terrible.
 - Q. In what way?
- A. We had invested a lot of money anticipating growth. We had invested money into marketing. We invested a lot of money into product sitting in warehouses, you know, we were sponsoring a motorcycle team. We were sponsoring some rock bands. And we had contractual commitments to these people. And when sales dried up, I still had to pay these people because I had contracts. And all of the money we had made just went away almost immediately with the beverage company. There was, you know, nothing.
- Q. Is that one of the reasons that you started working again with NetJapan and LeapFrog in March of 2009?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And I'm going to jump back a little bit but a couple of times Mr. Campbell, and we looked at some e-mails,

he reached out to you and he asked you a couple of questions in the 2007, 2008 period. Do you remember that?

- A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. How often did that happen?
- A. Once or twice.

- Q. And we looked at one of them. This is for an e-mail from you to Mr. Campbell on January 8, 2008. Is that right?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And you have been talking about a mounting driver; is that right?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Now a mounting driver doesn't have anything to do with the trade secrets in this case. It doesn't relate to the SnapShot and it doesn't relate to the incremental sector tracking. Is that correct or incorrect?
 - A. That is correct, it does not.
- Q. And so this is actually almost a year before this lawsuit was filed, is that right? You probably don't know when this lawsuit was filed.
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. I'll represent to you it was filed in December of 2008. So prior to this lawsuit being filed, you're telling Mr. Campbell that you wiped everything when you left StorageCraft is that -- is that what you're telling him?

A. Yes, that is correct.

- Q. And then what you're pointing to is some work you did that is publicly available?
- A. Yes, I did some public domain software back in 1997.
- Q. Now in March 2009, had the ActiveImage Protector product developed by NetJapan and LeapFrog been released?
- A. To the best of my knowledge it was being sold in Japan.
- Q. And so when you -- and that wasn't a very good question. When you got involved, to your understanding had the product been released or was it just getting ready to be released?
- A. I was under the impression and was told that it had been released.
- Q. And so you jumped into -- well, strike that. But what did NetJapan and LeapFrog want you to do in regard to the ActiveImage Protector product? What was the issue they were bringing you in on?
- A. In a meeting NetJapan discussed with me they said that the performance was abysmal.
- Q. Yeah. But what aspect of the performance was abysmal?
- A. They didn't know. They just said we don't -- because, again, NetJapan doesn't understand that level of

- software development, you know, so they asked me to come in and figure out why.

 Q. So did you jump in and try to figure out why the performance wasn't so good?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. What did you figure out?
- A. A couple of things, several things. There were two things first off that we could address right away that would improve some of the performance, three things. One was the compression algorithm that they were using.
- Q. And the compression algorithm, what is that in layman's terms relate to?
- A. It takes a piece of data and it squishes it down as tight as it can so that it takes up less space.
 - Q. How was that effecting performance?
- A. A poorly written algorithm takes along time to compress the data. So, you know, blah, blah, blah.
- Q. And the data that is being compressed here is the SnapShot back-up?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So this is how fast that SnapShot gets compressed?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And this is sometimes called the compression engine?

A. Yes, that is correct.

- Q. The compression engine doesn't relate to the SnapShot technology. I mean they are separate parts of the program?
 - A. Completely separate.
- Q. And the compression engine doesn't relate to the incremental sector tracking part of the program?
 - A. No, that is correct, they do not relate.
- Q. So this is part of one and what did you do to help fix that problem?
- A. From my previous experience that we had discussed earlier, I worked for a company called Stack in the 90s and they were data compression experts. So I went and tracked down the founder of that company and he had a new company and it was called Hifn, H-I-F-N. It wasn't Hifi as Campbell said in his discussion, it was called Hifn. And I contacted Doug and I said we are looking for some high-end compression technology.
 - Q. Did Doug have any available?
 - A. Yes, he did.
 - Q. And --
- A. He told me it was the same technology that Symantec was using.
- Q. And did you go out and ask -- did you tell
 NetJapan and LeapFrog that they should license that

1 technology?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Yes, I advised NetJapan to license that technology.
 - Q. Did that happen?
 - A. Yes, it did.
 - Q. Did that help with the compression?
- A. Yes, it improved the speed and the amount that the data was being compressed.
 - Q. So that was a big improvement?
 - A. It was a big improvement.
- Q. And you mentioned two other things that jumped out at you that you were helping on. What was number two?
 - A. Number two was encryption.
 - Q. And what does encryption mean?
 - A. Encryption means.
- Q. Basic --
- 17 A. I will.
- Q. Something I can understand.
 - A. You take a piece of data, for argument purposes, let's say a word document, and you don't want somebody to see -- be able to read the contents of that word document. So you perform what in math terms is called a cipher where you run through the data and mix it all up but you do it in a method so that if you have a password you can unmix it. But if you don't have that password, you can't unmix it. It

is always scrambled. So even if you pull up the word document, it is just a bunch of garbage.

- Q. And how did you go about helping with that? What was the problem there? Again, the short easy to understand version?
- A. They were using a public domain open-sourced version of the software that was very slow, it wasn't very optimized.
 - Q. So what did you think needed to be done?
- A. Well, I felt that we needed to go and find some encryption technology and license that as well.
- Q. And did NetJapan and LeapFrog go license that technology?
 - A. NetJapan did, yes.
- Q. And then the third -- the third issue that jumped out at you, what was that?
- A. It had to do with -- all modern computers now have multiple cores which means they have multiple processors in the computer. So unless you specifically write the software to be aware of multiple processors, the systems -- your software is not going to use it, right. Your software is going to run on one processor. So I said we can really improve the performance of the software if we can detect the number of cores and while we're compressing, like if we have eight cores and we're compressing data, we

can compress eight times as much data at one time which is a very, very significant improvement in the software.

- Q. Did any of those three ideas have anything to do with this SnapShot technology?
 - A. No. It had nothing to do with SnapShot.
- Q. And your understanding is that for every version except for Windows 2000, the ActiveImage protector uses the Microsoft standard SnapShot technology?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And Microsoft Office 2000 is pretty old, but do you know if NetJapan developed a SnapShot technology for Microsoft 2000?
 - A. Yes, they did.
- Q. And were you involved in any substantial way in developing that?
- A. They asked me if I wanted to be and I refused on multiple reasons. I didn't want there to be a hint of impropriety between the previous employment of StorageCraft.
- Q. You know who developed it for the ActiveImage Protector?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. And you talked to him how many times about it?
 - A. A couple of times, maybe.
 - Q. Did he accept any of your ideas?
 - A. No. I came up with a new method, and because

- I -- I couldn't stop thinking about it.
 - Q. Did you pitch that new method to this guy?
 - A. I did.

- Q. And he didn't go with that?
- A. He went with some other method he designed himself.
- Q. Any of the three items that you helped NetJapan with, did that have to do with incremental sector tracking used in the ActiveImage Protector product?
 - A. Not those three things, no. Four things, no.
- Q. And, in fact, your understanding was Mr. Campbell wrote the ActiveImage Protector products sector image tracking; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. We talked a little bit about e-mails that you produced and you haven't produced and I want to go through that real quickly. Redux -- strike that. Redux, your beverage company, and Rectiphy were both served with subpoenas; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you went through and you tried to find things that might relate to SnapShot technology and such in your e-mails for those two companies?
 - A. Right. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And you had an e-mail account for Rectiphy

starting January of 2010?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. And Rectiphy produced e-mails?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, you had a NetJapan e-mail account from April 2009 to January 2010; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, somewhere in that time frame, yes.
- Q. And you don't have those -- do you view those as your e-mails to produce?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. I was working as an employee for NetJapan as a contractor. The servers were in Japan. They own those e-mail accounts. I had no authority whatsoever to produce those e-mails. I would have been sued. I wasn't going to do that.
 - Q. Did you even remember your password?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Is there a reason why you didn't remember your password?
- A. Yes. NetJapan requires they -- when they create a new e-mail account for you, they don't let you pick your password. They use a software package that generates a very secure password for you. It generates passwords like big Q little V big T little I three Z, some big long string. And

the purpose is to make sure that the password is secure so that people can't guess at passwords and sneak into your system.

Well, I'm bit of a scatterbrain and I think that from March 2009 until December of that year when we started Rectiphy, I think I must have had to call NetJapan five times to say can you please tell me my password again, because I mean I just couldn't remember it. And you put it into your outlook and if something happens like the internet connection gets lost or something happens to the server or it gets reset, your password gets reset in Outlook so it pops up and it will ask you, you have to enter your password again. And of course I —

- Q. Did you use your NetJapan e-mail after you started Rectiphy in January of 2010?
 - A. No. No, I did not.
- Q. Do you know if STC issued a subpoena to NetJapan to try to get those e-mails?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. We talked a little bit about the shared offices, or Mr. Karrenberg asked you if your beverage drink company shared offices with your software company Rectiphy. Did Redux and Rectiphy ever share offices?
 - A. No, we never did.
 - Q. But they both had the same office address; is

that right?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Can you give me the chronology on that and explain to the jury why you didn't share offices?
- A. Yes. In 2009, the beverage company was doing terrible. I had to lay off four employees and we had to let our lease expire on our office building. This was in December of 2009. And in January of 2009, as we were forming Rectiphy, we were looking for office space. So I said well, my beverage company just terminated its lease, so why don't we go over there and see if we can get a new lease under Rectiphy and use the same office space. But at no time, not even for one second, did those two companies run their businesses out of the same office space.
- Q. It was used by Redux, it was empty, and then Rectiphy came in?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Just a couple of final questions, Mr. Kirby. Did you intentionally keep any of STC's confidential information?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you intentionally disseminate any of STC's confidential information?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Did you use STC's confidential information in any

way for your work with the ActiveImage Protector? 1 2 No, I did not. 3 Did you give LeapFrog any STC confidential information that they could use in working on NetJapan's 4 5 product? 6 No, I did not. Α. 7 Did you give NetJapan any STC information, Ο. confidential information, for NetJapan to use in developing 8 9 its new product? 10 No, I did not. Α. 11 Have you ever used any STC confidential 12 information since you left? 13 No, I have not. Α. 14 MR. ENSOR: Thank you. No more questions. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Excuse me. Mr. Karrenberg, I 16 would like to take a break right now, if we could. 17 MR. KARRENBERG: I have one issue I have to raise with 18 you based on that testimony. THE COURT: Okay. We'll take a break until 10 after 19 20 the hour. All rise, please. 21 (Whereupon, the jury left the courtroom.) 22 MR. KARRENBERG: I want to get into an area that I 23 think he opened up, but it affects the order you entered 24 about not talking about the AIP screenshots being the same 25 as ours. And while I think he opened it up, I'm not in the

habit of violating your orders without getting -- he talked about claiming that Scott Barnes took confidential information from PowerQuest. And his basis was he said the screenshots were exactly the same. Well, Judge, I think he has opened that up and I think we're free to go into that now.

THE COURT: I appreciate you bringing it up. I disagree. It was a different product, different time

THE COURT: I appreciate you bringing it up. I disagree. It was a different product, different time period, different relationship. So I'm not going to permit it.

MR. KARRENBERG: Now you know why I bring it up.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. KARRENBERG: I don't want to be held in contempt.

THE COURT: You wouldn't be held in contempt, but you have made a good record. Thank you. Anything else we should cover before we recess?

MR. ENSOR: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Excuse me, I am sorry I had to slow you down there, but we are burning out court reporters every two hours and they go into rehab for a day and then they come back.

THE WITNESS: I apologize, Your Honor. I get carried away. It is just my nature.

MR. ENSOR: The court is right. If you listen to the question and you stop for a second or think about it, your

answer will be a little more concise and little more direct. 1 2 THE WITNESS: I agree. I understand. I apologize to 3 the court. MR. KARRENBERG: You objected when I tried to tell him 4 5 to do that yesterday. THE COURT: Okay. We're off the record. We're in 6 7 I have one more issue I need to cover with you. 8 must have taken down the numbers of the instructions wrong. 9 I thought you told me 28 and 30 about using admissions and 10 questions from the judge. It appears to me those are 11 actually 20 and --12 MR. KARRENBERG: The ones I had were 20 and --13 THE COURT: 25. MR. KARRENBERG: Yeah. On the latest set that is 14 15 correct, Your Honor. That is what I have as well. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Then we're in agreement. Now we're 17 in recess. 18 MR. KARRENBERG: Thank you, sir. 19 (Recess.) 20 THE COURT: The jury is on its way. 21 MR. KARRENBERG: Judge, the jurors from like St. 22 George and Price, do you put them up in hotels? 23 THE COURT: Yeah, the court pays for it. We draw from 24 a statewide jury pool. 25 MR. KARRENBERG: I was just curious. I never knew

1 what we did with them. I can't imagine them driving back 2 and forth every morning. 3 THE COURT: Last year or last week during the outdoor retailer convention we had a serious problem with jurors 4 5 from of the Wasatch front. The closest hotel rooms were in 6 Brigham City -- no, actually Logan. Logan and what is 7 beyond Fillmore. 8 MR. KARRENBERG: Oh, my gosh. 9 THE COURT: So we excused those people that didn't 10 have family to stay with. 11 THE CLERK: All rise for the jury, please. 12 (Whereupon, the jury returned to the courtroom.) 13 THE COURT: Just a moment, please. We're convened 14 again in StorageCraft versus Kirby about to resume Mr. Kirby 15 as a witness with redirect examination. 16 MR. KARRENBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MR. KARRENBERG: 20 Good morning, Mr. Kirby. 21 Good morning. Α. 22 You were pretty animated this morning. 0. 23 drinking your oddly named energy drink for breakfast? 24 Thank goodness I wasn't. Α. No.

So it would have been worse?

25

Q.

1 Α. Yes. 2 Thank goodness you weren't. Could we put up Q. 3 Exhibit 61 please, Margaret. This is the one we looked at. Highlight this (indicating). 4 5 You said you wrote PowerQuest that you were sure that 6 Scott Barnes was using PowerQuest's knowledge and you told 7 that to the jury this morning, right? 8 I felt confident that he was, yes. 9 Yeah. And you also know that you have already Q. 10 sworn that that is not true? 11 Α. I have? 12 Yeah. Do you remember having your deposition 13 taken in the NetJapan case? 14 Yeah, I think I do. Yes. Yeah. In fact, it was on August 7th, 2007. 15 16 fact, it was noticed up by the lawyers for NetJapan, right? 17 Α. Possibly. Yeah. In fact, examination began with Mr. Gross, 18 19 your former lawyer, asking you questions, right? 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 Let's go to a page in that deposition, Margaret, 22 Page 164. Here is where you're talking about the PowerQuest 23 non-competes and you were asked, this was actually by 24 Mr. Johnson at the bottom, I'm not asking whether you read

the non-competes or anything like that. I'm just asking for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your general recollection during the time period whether it ever -- whether it appeared to you that the four former PowerQuest employees were trying to be mindful of their obligations to their non-competes? Mr. Gross objected and you were asked if you should answer and he told you yes. And you went on to say, okay, I know at the start of the relationship, they were mindful to make sure that they were doing things such that they weren't going to get into any trouble. I don't know what the specific terms of the agreement with them were, so I can't make a judgment call on how well, or how good, or how not well they, you know, were mindful of that agreement. Question, okay. Answer, I don't -- I don't recall what it is. Question, so long story short, you don't have any idea of whether -- answer, I really don't. Question, they were complying with those, right, agreement or not. Answer, and even if I read the non-competes, I don't recall it now, so I don't, you know, I just don't recall having read that agreement. So I don't have any grounds to or any boundaries to decide whether they were within or without -- outside of those boundaries. was your sworn testimony in 2007, correct?

- A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And you understand what it means to be under oath, don't you?
 - A. Yes, I do.

Let's also look at another section from that same 1 2 deposition. Can we go to Page 270. You were talking on 3 Exhibit 161 about the v2i protector system of PowerQuest and here you were asked beginning on Line 16, and did Mr. Barnes 4 5 share with you his experiences in developing the v2i 6 protector at PowerQuest? Answer, depends on what you mean 7 quote experiences quote. You know, that's kind of -- I 8 don't know how you mean by his experiences. Question, let 9 me take a step back. In connection with discussing 10 ShadowProtect with you, and I realize that's a name that it 11 later acquired, in that context did he discuss any aspects 12 of Symantec v2i or PowerQuest v2i protector? Answer, I 13 don't think he talked. There was an objection Mr. Johnson. 14 Okay, I don't -- I don't recall him talking, ever disclosing 15 any of the specific information about what PowerQuest had 16 done in their product. It was more of a look and feel issue 17 with the product that I was, you know, that concerned me. 18 Not that he was disclosing confidential information from his 19 previous employer. So if that's where I -- I read that all correctly didn't I, sir? 20

A. Yes, you did.

21

22

23

24

- Q. That was your sworn testimony under oath in 2007, correct?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - MR. ENSOR: Your Honor, I ask that Mr. Karrenberg read

the next lines 12 to 18 on Page 271. 1 2 MR. KARRENBERG: I'm not going to. I would be 3 violating your order. I think that it would open it up more. If you would like to look at those pages. 4 5 THE COURT: I would like a copy. I don't have them. 6 MR. KARRENBERG: May I approach? 7 THE COURT: Yes. Lines 12 through 18, Mr. Ensor? MR. ENSOR: Correct, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Stay right there. It will not be read. 10 Q. (By Mr. Karrenberg) While we have got the 11 deposition out here, isn't it true you thought that you sold 12 the PowerQuest -- the source code license to PowerQuest for 13 too little money? 14 Yes, I did. Α. In fact, you thought you got ripped off, right? 15 16 Yeah. It would have been nice to get a little Α. 17 bit more. 18 Q. Yeah, because you thought you didn't know what 19 you had at the time; isn't that right? 20 Α. That could be correct. 21 Yeah. Could we put Exhibit 59 on. You talked 22 about how much money that the Russians were owed and this 23 was the e-mail that you were sending in 2004 to a gentleman 24 named Ralph S. that you talked about possibly starting a new

25

business, correct?

	Q.	And	you	said	you	needed	160,000	а	year,	right?

A. That is correct.

Α.

That is correct.

- Q. Let's go to the second page. At the same time you were trying to entice considering bringing over the Russian engineers. And there they had a little bit more than you were saying. They will need the following salaries if they will bail and follow me. 4,700 per month. That is more than \$32,000, isn't it?
 - A. Yeah, that would give them a raise.
- Q. And \$2,800 per month, that is more than \$20,000.00, isn't it?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. And now you said that all you had into the price of developing VSnap was about \$80,000.00 based on what you said you were paying them, right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Except you forgot that you also gave them

 16 percent of StorageCraft Technology, Inc., correct?
 - A. Yes, after we merged, yes.
- Q. Yeah. And at that time you and everybody involved had valued the company at 12 to \$15,000,000.00?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. So 16 percent of that is going to be somewhere in the neighborhood of two or \$3,000,000.00 in compensation,

And, in fact, you heard Mr. Campbell testify that

No, I do not.

Α.

Q.

24

to get to where he even got with the LeapFrog product he 1 2 spent full-time for 18 months? 3 Α. Yes, he didn't have the driver experience. Right. And you also had to have the system 4 5 debugged, right? 6 Α. That is correct. 7 And Dantz did some of that for you, right? Q. 8 Most of it. Α. 9 That is valuable, right? Q. 10 Sure. Α. 11 And, in fact, PowerQuest did a lot of debugging Q. 12 for you as well, didn't they? 13 Sure, it didn't cost me anything. That was 14 great. 15 But it is valuable, isn't it? Ο. 16 Α. Sure. 17 Now you mentioned at the very end of your testimony that you couldn't get the NetJapan e-mails because 18 19 you were afraid NetJapan would sue you, right? 20 Well, not afraid, just yeah it could have been an 21 issue, right. I wouldn't have done it for fear. 22 You did get the Rectiphy e-mails that began in 23 2010, right? 24 That is correct. Α. 25 And the Rectiphy e-mails were maintained on the Q.

NetJapan server in Japan, correct? 1 2 Only to just recently. They were not beforehand. 3 Just the one from earlier in 2012. Certain Q. pages. I need the other one there. In your deposition that 4 5 you took in 2012, earlier this year in San Diego, we have 6 already looked at that, right? 7 I quess so, yes. Α. 8 Would you turn to Page 148, please. 0. 9 Α. Okay. 10 MR. KARRENBERG: Are you there, Rick? 11 MR. ENSOR: I'm sorry, what page? 12 MR. KARRENBERG: 148. 13 MR. ENSOR: Yeah. 14 (By Mr. Karrenberg) Beginning on Line 17 I put 15 the question to you, do you only have one Rectiphy e-mail account? Answer, that's correct. Do you know who is the 16 17 exchange provider on that? Answer, NetJapan maintains that 18 server for us in Japan. 19 That is correct. 20 And that was what you testified to on Ο. 21 February 27th, 2012? 22 Of this year, yes. 23 Okay. And so you had no problem getting the 0. 24 Rectiphy e-mails, did you?

Well, I'm the principal, I have the authority to

25

Α.

do that.

- Q. Okay. But you didn't get the NetJapan e-mails that you used while you were working on the development of the AIP product from March of 2009 through December of 2009, did you?
 - A. I have no authority to do that.
- Q. But moreover, you didn't even ask NetJapan if they would give you the password and allow you, would you?
 - A. No, I didn't have the authority to.
- Q. I didn't ask you about authority. You didn't even ask them for the authority, did you?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. And there was no reason you couldn't have asked them, is there?
 - A. No, there is not.
- Q. Now, you went through all your history early on with your counsel of developing the computers, and it is quite impressive, Mr. Kirby. In fact, you even talked about writing, I think the said, the first zip drive. Do you recall that?
 - A. Correct, yes.
- Q. And you're aware that all of these VSnap source codes on the e-mails that were contained on your disk that you gave to Crocker, they're on zip drives, aren't they?
 - A. They are on zip files. I think I said that to

A. Okay.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But in any event, in all that experience, you surely knew how to just do a word search, didn't you?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. And a word search like you testified yesterday of VSnap would just take seconds, wouldn't it?
 - A. That is correct.
- MR. KARRENBERG: I have no further questions.

- Q. That is because you didn't have to pay him that much. \$60,000.00 a year in Russia in 2004 was a lot of money?
 - A. I believe so.

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And Denis you were going to pay a little under \$36,000.00 a year?

A. That is correct.

- Q. And Alexey, you were going to pay a little bit under \$36,000.00 a year?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. This is 2004. Now just so we're clear, your testimony was in 2000, 2001, 2002, you paid them a little less?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And we talked about -- Mr. Karrenberg asked you, you know, VSnap was under development in 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, and software is always under development, right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. The primary development of -- and I know it was a long time ago, Mr. Kirby, but the primary development of the VSnap when you and Max were really going at it, what part of that time period was that?
- A. I can't give the exact date, but I believe that we were really going at it in 2001.
- Q. And as we saw by the exhibits, by 2002 you had a product that you could license?
- A. Actually, we licensed it in the first one in January of 2001. Max, you know, I had written the original code, and then Max helped me get it up to speed at the end of 2000 along with the multitude of other products that he was working on and projects to get it ready for Paul. And

it wasn't until after we licensed it to Dantz that we —
that we really jumped in and said okay, this company has
high expectations from us so we have to do this contract
really well. And Dantz was very good about helping us test
the software because we didn't have the resources to test it
as thoroughly as they could.

- Q. Did Max and Alexey and Denis work on other projects besides the VSnap in 2001, 2002, 2003?
 - A. I believe they did, yes.
- Q. And jumping to the e-mails, when the subpoena came to Rectiphy, you were an officer and an owner in Rectiphy, correct?
 - A. That is correct.

- Q. You had the authority and the ability to produce the e-mails, right?
 - A. Absolutely, yes.
- Q. And you produced e-mails for January 2010 forward?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. If they were responsive, I guess. And in contrast to NetJapan, you're not an owner of NetJapan?
 - A. No, I'm not.
 - Q. You're just a consultant to NetJapan?
 - A. That is correct.
- MR. ENSOR: Thank you.

Case 2:08-cv-00921-DN	Document 308	Filed 09/19/12	PageID.4269	Page 95 of
-----------------------	--------------	----------------	-------------	------------

Officer?

2.1

- A. I am responsible for engineering management. I'm responsible for facilities and for all of the IT systems.
- Q. So as a manager of engineering, what does that involve in terms of your responsibilities?
- A. I am in charge of engineers and also any of the source code and the source code repository where we keep everything.
- Q. So that means that you oversee the security of the source code?
 - A. I do.
- Q. How long have you been the CTO or Chief Technology Officer of STC?
 - A. For eight years.
- Q. And you're also a founder of StorageCraft Technology Corp?
 - A. I am.
- Q. And you're also an officer of the company and a member of the Board of Directors?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you please give the jury just an overview of your education starting with high school and then proceeding forward?
- A. Well, high school and then I studied computer and electrical engineering at Brigham Young University from 1989

- to 1995. And then I had various courses in specific software training over the years since then.
- Q. And have you also gained some experience in software and development in your employment and in the industry?
 - A. Sure, yeah.

- Q. Why don't you just briefly describe for us your employment history starting with your first job in the software industry?
- A. First job in the software industry was Vinca. We created -- it was a high availability and disaster recovery company. I was a programmer for them.
 - Q. And how long did you work for Vinca?
- A. For Vinca I worked for about four years and then they were acquired by Legato. At that time, I was director of engineering in charge of 22 engineers.
- Q. And you're working on disaster recovery software there?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then what was your next job?
- A. My next job was with PowerQuest. I was a chief architect for them.
- Q. And what kind of software or what kind of business is PowerQuest involved in?
 - A. PowerQuest was a utilities company. They built

utilities that you would run on your computer and I was hired to start creating enterprise back-up products.

- Q. What were your responsibilities there as chief architect?
- A. I was responsible for the product architecture.

 Basically an architect is someone who designs the software

 much like an architect for a house. While I don't build it,

 I design it and make many of the key decisions on what tools

 and languages to use.
 - Q. What was your next job?
 - A. The next job was with --
 - Q. Were you a founder of ShadowStor?
 - A. I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O. When was that?
- A. That was December '03, 2003.
- Q. And what did you do at ShadowStor?
- A. I was a core programmer at ShadowStor.
- Q. What did your responsibilities include as a core programmer?
- A. I built the first user interface and product for our first product which was a ShadowUser or ShadowUser product.
 - Q. Can you describe that product just briefly?
- A. It was a product that ran on their computer that allowed you to make changes. But if you didn't like those

changes, you could quickly undo them.

- Q. And then in 2004 ShadowStor merged with Mr. Kirby's company StorageCraft, Inc. to become StorageCraft Technology Corporation, correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And then that is where you have been ever since then?
 - A. Ever since then, yes.
- Q. How many years of experience do you have in developing, overseeing management and the development of software and back-up software specifically?
 - A. Over 16 years.
- Q. And you're intimately familiar with the making of software products and back-up software products?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I want to turn specifically to the source code in this case, the VSnap source code in particular.

 You're familiar with that code?
 - A. I am.
 - Q. How did you gain that familiarity?
- A. Well, I was -- I was responsible for part of the architecture when I was at PowerQuest and then also at StorageCraft because I am in charge of engineering. Any of the developers that make changes or check-ins, I'm ultimately responsible for those.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. What products today does STC sell that include the VSnap source code? We call it the ShadowProtect family. There is Α. about 12 -- 12 products in that family. And those are successful products? Yes, very. Α. Let's take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 129. Ο. can use this. Do you recognize that? I do. Α. And what does this represent? This is just kind of a rough outline of how Α. software becomes a product, how products are built. And this one specifically deals with VSnap source code and ShadowProtect, correct? Α. Correct. And now let's just start at the top here. Can you describe what these files are up here? VSnap source code file number one through number N here? Okay. Well source code is basically human readable instructions written in various languages such as C or C++. VSnap is written in C. And if you -- the code file number one through N there is about 70 files included in the VSnap source code. Do each of those files have kind of a little bit Q.
 - Q. Do each of those files have kind of a little bit of a different function?

- A. Yeah, each one has a -- has a limited set of functions within them.
- Q. And then those go into the compiler here and then you get these object code files, correct?
 - A. Yeah.

- O. What are these?
- A. Yeah. The compiler basically takes the human readable source code and converts it into machine readable instructions. And so the object code basically are ones and zeros, something a computer can understand.
- Q. Okay. And then going down, those object code files go into a linker and then create VSnap.sys binary. Can you see that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you describe that?
- A. Linker basically gathers all of the object code and puts it into a format that the computer can understand. In this case that is the VSnap binary.
 - Q. And how would you describe the VSnap binary?
- A. Well, the VSnap binary is the snapshot technology with incremental tracking. That is part of the product ShadowProtect.
- Q. And then there are these other product binaries that go into ShadowProtect. What are those?
 - A. ShadowProtect.xe is the UI for the product and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there are various other binaries that make up the product that are all put into an install and that creates the product application. And the UI is the user interface, right? Α. Correct. So VSnap is not the only piece of source code in ShadowProtect? No, it is just one, one key component. Okay. I want to go into your history a little Q. bit with Jamey Kirby. When did you first meet him? While I was working as the chief architect at PowerQuest. And what was the nature of your meeting? Like I said, I was -- I was brought onto PowerQuest to start creating back-up -- enterprise back-up products and we were looking for a snapshot technology. And did Kirby's company, StorageCraft, Inc. have that technology? Α. They did. Were you involved in PowerQuest's decision on what company they were going to license that technology from? Yes, I was one of the key decision makers.

Q. Did they decide to license that from StorageCraft, Inc.?

		111
1	Α.	They did.
2	Q.	And at that time did the qualifications of the
3	engineers,	including Mr. Kirby, play a role in that decision
4	in deciding	to license the technology from StorageCraft,
5	Inc.?	
6	Α.	It did. Jamey Kirby was a DDK MVP and so was his
7	lead engine	er Maxim Shatskih both DDK MVPs.
8	Q.	Do you know of other engineers who were working
9	at StorageC	raft, Inc. at that time?
LO	Α.	Yes, there were two others.
L1	Q.	And who were they?
L2	Α.	Alexey Borisov and Denis Batrankov.
13	Q.	Did you have a sense of their qualifications?
4	Α.	They worked for the military for some time in
15	security and	d security software.
L 6	Q.	Did you end up working with Kirby after
L 7	PowerQuest	decided to license the snapshot technology?
L 8	Α.	I did.
L 9	Q.	And at some point did StorageCraft, Inc. complete
20	VSnap for P	owerQuest?
21	Α.	It did.
22	Q.	When was that?
23	Α.	That would have been in 2003.
2.4	Q.	Now, VSnap itself was not a software product,

correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. Did you describe it as a technology that is built from source code that then gets incorporated into products?
 - A. Yes, it is a key component of a lot of products.
- Q. Is that what STC has done with it and with the ShadowProtect product?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now we talked about you being a founder of ShadowStor. At some point ShadowStor merges with StorageCraft, Inc. to become StorageCraft Technologies.

What, in that merger or in discussing, you know, joint efforts of those two companies, what was StorageCraft, Inc. bringing to the table?

- A. Basically StorageCraft, Inc. brought VSnap or the snapshot technology to the table.
 - Q. Did they bring anything else?
- A. They brought some other various utilities and source code.
- Q. What was your sense in terms of StorageCraft, Inc.'s, you know, business savvy?
- A. Well, as we went through it, I know they had some financial difficulty. I personally gave \$20,000 to supporting them during that time. And then as far as the products, they weren't -- StorageCraft was not capable of marketing a real end user product and so, you know, that is

that	is	the	state	that	thev	were	in.

- Q. And what did ShadowStor bring to the table?
- A. Well, we brought a lot of years of experience. It personally had created and productized several products at both the previous companies Vinca and PowerQuest that made millions of dollars, and then the co-founders brought vast business experience and marketing experience.
- Q. And I'm going to talk a little bit about the disk that we received from Rod Parker who is David Crocker's counsel. I want to refer you to Plaintiff's Exhibit 31. I don't know if the envelope is open, but you may need to open that?
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. And that is a CD, right?
 - A. That is.
 - Q. Have you seen that before?
- A. I have.
 - Q. Have you looked at its contents?
- A. I have.
 - O. What is on there?
 - A. Over 77,000 e-mails and documents and those documents are the attachments of those e-mails.
 - Q. Okay. How did you go about reviewing the contents of that disk?
 - A. We loaded them into a -- at our attorney's office

- into a product called Summation, which is a document management software. Q. Did you use any tools within Summation to kind of get a sense of what was on that disk? Yeah, we used some of the search capabilities in Summation. What kinds of searches did you run? 0. It ran several different searches. Are there different kinds of searches that you Q. can run in Summation? Yeah, there is a quick search that basically searches the to and from fields and the subject fields and the name of any of the attachments. It is a quick search that returns very quickly. And that is a search of the e-mails of that information on the e-mails?
 - A. Right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. What other type of search can you do on Summation?
- A. There is an index search that will actually search the contents of any attachments of the actual contents of a file contained in any of the attachments.
- Q. Does that search also include a search of the body of the e-mail what the author and the recipients actually have written back and forth?

- 1 Yes, it does. Α. 2 Okay. What search terms did you decide to use? Q. 3 First I used the last names of the Russian Α. engineers. So Shatskih, Borisov and Batrankov. 4 5 0. Those are hard, right? 6 Α. Yeah. 7 So you used those. Did you use any others? Ο. 8 And then I used VSnap. Α. 9 Why did you choose those particular terms? Q. 10 Well, again, the three Russians were working on Α. the source code and then VSnap is the name of the product 11 12 and the source codes. 13 So those are the searches that you would do if 14 you were trying to locate the VSnap source code, right? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Do you recall the results of your searches? Q. 17 Yes, I do. Α. 18 Do you remember the exact numbers that came up 19 when you ran those searches? 20 No. I just remember it was -- well, um, between 21 600 and 5,000 entries for each -- each search term. 22
 - Is there any document that would assist you in refreshing your recollection of exactly what the results of those searches were?

24

25

Yes. I took notes when I did those searches. Α.

exact number of hits that you got when you ran these

How many documents came up when you searched the

When I did a search for Shatskih, which was the

And what came up when you searched for Batrankov?

I did two different searches with VSnap. I did

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

searches?

Α.

term Shatskih?

Α.

0.

Α.

Ο.

Α.

Q.

606.

and it returned 3,179 documents.

They do.

quick search, it returned 4,891 documents.

And how about Borisov?

And when you searched the term VSnap?

the quick search, it returned 2,318 documents. And then I

did the more in-depth search that used both a simple search

Q. How long did those searches take you to do?

and the attachment or document contents and e-mail search

1,168 documents.

into evidence.)

- Q. (By Ms. Sneddon) Did you do any further review of the VSnap search results?
- A. Yeah. I went -- I thoroughly went through it to find full copies of the VSnap source code. I also took several of those copies and compared them against the VSnap source code that we used today.
- Q. How many full copies of VSnap source code did you find?
 - A. I found 11 full copies of the VSnap source code.
- Q. And those full copies are we're talking eight, 900 pages, thousand pages each, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And how are you able to make the determination that each of those was a full copy of VSnap?
- A. Well, each of them contained a set of files and I compared those first of all the names of the files with the ones that we used in VSnap that are in our source repository and found that they matched. And then I went through many of those files and compared the contents side by side with what is in the source repository and they were identical.
- Q. Were there also a lot of partial copies of the VSnap source code in there?
- A. Yes. Many of the e-mails contained just one or two files, but it was the VSnap source code.

- 2 Exhibit 4 which I need to get for you. Have you seen that 3 exhibit before? I have. 4 Α. 5 Ο. And what is it? 6 Α. It is an e-mail from Maxim Shatskih to Jamey 7 Kirby. What is the date of it? 8 0. 9 December 9th, 2001. Α. 10 And where have you seen that before? Q. 11 Α. In the results of the VSnap search in Summation. 12 MS. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I move to admit Exhibit 4. 13 MR. ENSOR: No objection, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: It is received, 4. 15 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 was received 16 into evidence.) 17 (By Ms. Sneddon) Can you take a look at the attachments to that e-mail? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Do you recognize those? Q. 21 Α. I do. 22 What are they? Ο. 23 They are part of the VSnap source code. Α. 24 Do you recognize those file names as part of the Q. 25 VSnap source code?
 - 110

- Q. Basically the same that we just saw?
- A. Yes.

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, I'm going to have you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 11. Have you seen that before?
 - A. I have.
 - Q. And what is it?

Q. Okay. Let me ask it this way. Let's take a look

I don't understand the question.

24

25

Α.

Case 2	:08-cv-00921-DN	Document 308 Filed 09/19/12 PageID.4289 Page 115 of			
177					
1	Q.	It has VSnap.H file attached?			
2	Α.	VSnap.H.			
3	Q.	That is part of the VSnap source code?			
4	Α.	Yes, it is.			
5	Q.	It has a copyright notice?			
6	Α.	Yes, it does.			
7	Q.	Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 18. What is this			
8	exhibit?				
9	Α.	An e-mail from Maxim Shatskih to Jamey Kirby.			
10	Q.	Also from the disk?			
11	Α.	Yes.			
12	MS. S	SNEDDON: Move to admit 18, Your Honor.			
13	MR. E	ENSOR: No objection, Your Honor.			
14	THE (COURT: 18 is received.			
15	(Wher	reupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 was received			
16	into	evidence.)			
17	Q.	(By Ms. Sneddon) Here is another one, it has the			
18	VSnap.zip f	File attachment?			
19	Α.	Yes, it does.			
20	Q.	Does this one also have a full copy of the VSnap			
21	source code	e attached?			
22	Α.	Yes, it does.			
23	Q.	It has many copyright notices?			
24	Α.	Yes, it does.			
25	Q.	Let's go to Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 21, in the			

Case 2	8-cv-00921-DN Document 308 Filed 09/19/12 PageID.4291 Page 117 of
	111
1	A. Yes, it does.
2	Q. Let's go to Exhibit 24. Have you seen this one
3	before?
4	A. Yes, I have.
5	Q. Is this another e-mail from the disk from David
6	Crocker's attorney?
7	A. Yes, from Maxim to Jamey.
8	MS. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I move to admit Exhibit 24.
9	MR. ENSOR: No objection.
10	THE COURT: 24 is received.
11	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 24 was received
12	into evidence.)
13	Q. (By Ms. Sneddon) This is another e-mail with a
14	VSnap.zip attachment?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. It is a full copy of the VSnap source code?
17	A. Yes, it is.
18	Q. Copyright notices throughout the code?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Now, we will go to Exhibit 26. Is that in there?
21	A. No.
22	Q. These are getting kind of big.
23	A. Which exhibit again?
24	Q. Exhibit 26, sorry.
25	A. Okay.

:08-cv-00921-DN	Document 308 Filed 09/19/12 PageID.4292 Page 118 of
	177
Q.	Have you seen this before?
Α.	Yes, have I have.
Q.	Is this another e-mail that was on the Rod Parker
disk?	
Α.	It is.
Q.	Who is it from?
Α.	It is from Maxim Shatskih.
Q.	And to Jamey Kirby?
Α.	Jamey was one and to Nate Bushman.
MS. S	SNEDDON: Okay. Move to admit Exhibit 26, Your
Honor.	
MR. E	INSOR: No objection.
THE (COURT: That was 26?
MS. S	SNEDDON: 26.
THE (COURT: It is received.
(Wher	reupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 26 was received
into	evidence.)
MS. S	SNEDDON: Thank you.
Q.	(By Ms. Sneddon) And do you recognize the
attachment	named here?
Α.	Yes, mfsbuff.c.
Q.	Is that part of the VSnap source code?
Α.	It is.
Q.	Does that attachment have a copyright notice on
it?	
	Q. A. Q. disk? A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. MS. S Honor. MR. H THE Q (Where into the control of the

- Q. Since that time, has STC ever experienced a breach in the confidentiality or security of its source code?
- A. No, except for Jamey Kirby retaining copies of the source code.
 - Q. This lawsuit?

21

22

23

24

		_	
7\	This	7 7 7.7 0	711 i +
Α.		1 a w :	

- Q. Okay. Tell me the steps generally that STC undertakes to maintain the security of its source code?
- A. First of all we require all employees to sign an employee and confidentiality agreement. We have network security. You cannot get on our network without being having a user name and password, a complex password. And um, we have building security. We have key card entry that is certainly logged and also video surveillance. Our source repository also requires a user name and password. And that is pretty much it.
- Q. Okay. I want to go through each of those just briefly with you. The first one you mentioned was confidentiality agreements that STC requires from each of its employees. Have you pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 45. Have you seen this before?
 - A. I have.
- Q. Is the agreements that STC requires of each of its employees and its contractors similar to this agreement?
 - A. Yes, they are.
 - Q. And generally what does it provide?
- A. It provides basically that the employees will keep all confidential information confidential during and when they leave.
 - Q. And you have signed an agreement like this?

A. I have.

- Q. And every employee at StorageCraft has signed an agreement like this?
 - A. Yes, they have.
 - Q. How do you know that?
- A. Well, first of all we require that they do before we grant them any access to the building or network. And also we did an audit in October of last year and indeed all employees had signed this agreement.
- Q. Okay. Now, please describe for me -- you mentioned the source code repository that STC has. Can you describe what that is for the jury and how it works?
- A. The source repository we have used two different versions, SourceSafe and another one called Subversion. But basically it organizes the source code into projects, but more importantly it grants access to those projects on a user -- per user basis.
- Q. Is there any sort of policy that STC has with respect to access to the source code repository?
- A. Yup. First of all you have to be a developer to even get access to it. And then even those developers gain access to the parts that they need on a need to know basis.
- Q. And so as soon as they no longer need to know, their access is taken away?
 - A. That is correct.

1 Okay. And shareholders certainly don't get 2 automatic access to it, right? 3 Α. No, only developers. Now, let's discuss the security measures on STC's 4 5 network that you mentioned. Can you describe what those are 6 for us? 7 On our network again you have to have a user name 8 and password. Our passwords are ten digit complex 9 passwords. 10 Q. How often are those passwords changed? 11 We require that all users change them every 90 12 days. 13 And you mentioned some security measures with 14 respect to the building. What were those? 15 Key card access. So you have to have a key card 16 or a badge to get in and those accesses are also logged. 17 And there is also video surveillance? Ο. 18 Yeah. We have over 25 internal cameras and 19 another 12 or 15 external cameras. 20 Q. And has STC ever licensed the VSnap source code 21 to anyone? 22 Α. No. 23 It has never freely given it to anyone? Ο. 24 Α. No.

And Mr. Barnes, you understand that you have been

25

Q.

designated by STC as an expert in this case on a few different topics, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. I want to talk to you about your opinions. Do you have an opinion as to the similarity between the copies of the VSnap source code that were on the disk that are in these boxes, and the VSnap source code that STC uses today in its products?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And what is that opinion?
- A. That opinion is that what is contained on the Crocker CD and what we use today is very similar.
 - Q. And how did you arrive at that opinion?
- A. I downloaded the latest version of the VSnap software. Actually, I couldn't download it, I got it from our director of engineering, downloaded it to a directory, and put the latest copy, in fact, it was this one, Exhibit 164, in another directory and I used a program called Beyond Compare that does a side-by-side comparison of all of the files, file names and the file contents.
 - Q. And what was the result of that comparison?
 - A. That they were substantially similar.
 - Q. Did you see anything in there that was different?
 - A. Yeah, there were -- there were changes.
 - Q. And can you describe the nature of what those

changes were?

- A. Some of the changes were bug fixes so any deficiencies or errors in the product were fixed. And then there there were additions to account for the newer faster hardware, so 32-bit to 64-bit support, and then also for the newer operating systems that had been released since this time.
- Q. So did you take a look at what those bug fixes were, for example?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you consider them to be pretty minor?
 - A. They were very minor.
- Q. And then the other two pieces that are different that you mentioned are just basically update the code so that it works on operating systems and hardware that didn't exist when this was originally written?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. But the core technology did not change, right?
- A. The core technology and core functionality has not changed.
- Q. And Mr. Barnes, do you also have an opinion with respect to the similarities between STC's ShadowProtect product which contains VSnap source code, and the ActiveImage Protector product released by NetJapan and Rectiphy?

7\	Yes
Δ	YAS

- Q. And what is that opinion?
- A. That the two products are very similar.
- Q. And how did you arrive at that opinion?
- A. We purchased a version of ActiveImage Protector, installed it on a system, and then on a system next to it installed our product and went through the -- went through both products thoroughly and compared basically what they do and their end results.
- Q. And did the version that you purchased of ActiveImage Protector that was in 2010, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you conclude that they had similar ultimate goals?
- A. Well, the ultimate goals of both products are to take full back-ups in incremental back-ups and then also to recover those, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did they have a similarity with respect to incremental sector tracking?
- A. Yeah. The incremental sector tracking was very similar. Basically when you would make back-ups, you would first take a full back-up and then after that you take incrementals. And the output of both products were very, very close to the same.
 - Q. So why is it important if both pieces of software

create virtually the same output, how does that affect your opinion?

- A. It means that the technology -- tracking those sectors is very similar.
- Q. Okay. Before ActiveImage was -- ActiveImage

 Protector was originally released by NetJapan, which we know
 was in March of 2009, do you know if there were any other
 products on the market besides ShadowProtect that had
 incremental sector tracking?
- A. There were two others that I know of. One from Symantec and then one from Acronis.
- Q. And tell me what you know about the Symantec product and its incremental sector tracking feature?
- A. Well, Symantec purchased PowerQuest and so it was actually using the same driver or VSnap that was licensed to them from StorageCraft. So it is basically the same technology.
 - Q. So StorageCraft's technology in that product?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And the other product you mentioned was Acronis True Image?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know anything about how Acronis True

 Image accomplishes incremental sector tracking?
 - A. Yeah, it is a little different. Actually, many

of our users are actually former True Image users. First of all, their product had serious issues with incrementals.

Often times they were corrupt. And then in comparing the outputs, they were different sizes. So it seemed to be using very different technology. Probably it was using file system intelligence to figure out what had changed and not tracking sectors directly.

- Q. And did you learn that through testing that True Image product?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Now, I want to talk to you about Microsoft's Volsnap snapshot technology. When you tested the 2010 version of ActiveImage Protector, did you test it on some different operating systems?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Which ones?
- A. We tested it on Windows 2000XP, Windows 2003 and Windows 2008.
 - Q. Okay. And did it work on Windows 2000?
 - A. It did.
- Q. So is there still a market for people who use Windows 2000?
 - A. Absolutely, yes.
 - Q. And does ShadowProtect work on Windows 2000?
 - A. Yes, it does.

1	Q. Are you familiar with the snapshot technology
2	that Microsoft calls Volsnap or VSS as I mentioned?
3	A. Yes, I am.
4	Q. How are you familiar with that?
5	A. Since I have been working in this industry for
6	many years, I have been familiar with it since it came out
7	in around 2002, 2003.
8	Q. Is it the same snapshot technology that
9	StorageCraft uses?
10	A. No, it is not.
11	Q. Do you know what operating systems it works on?
12	A. It works on WindowsXP on up and Windows 2003 on
13	up.
14	Q. Okay. So it does not work on Windows 2000,
15	right? It wasn't available then?
16	A. No, it does not work on Windows 2000.
17	Q. So if it doesn't work on Windows 2000 and
18	ActiveImage Protector from 2010 does, that means it has got
19	to have a different snapshot technology, right?
20	A. Correct.
21	Q. Now, when you were comparing ActiveImage
22	Protector and ShadowProtect, were you able to compare the
23	source code of each of those products?
24	A. No.
25	Q. And why not?

1 We didn't have the source code we requested 2 that -- that an independent expert compare source code but 3 Rectiphy refused. Could you have obtained the code from anywhere 4 5 else? 6 No. Α. 7 Would it be possible to reverse engineer that Ο. 8 code, for example, if you had purchased ActiveImage 9 Protector? 10 You could reverse engineer it, but the user 11 agreement specifically says you are not allowed to reverse 12 engineer the product. 13 Ο. And so you didn't do that? 14 Α. No. 15 Because had you done that, you would have 16 breached that user agreement, right? 17 Α. Correct. 18 And now finally I want to talk to you a little 19 bit about NetJapan. You understand that Mr. Kirby suggested 20 in this case that NetJapan was somehow given access to 21 portions of the VSnap source code, correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 Is that true? 0. 24 No, it is not true. Α.

What did NetJapan have access to?

25

Q.

1 NetJapan was helping localize so they had access 2 to a -- to very limited files specific to user interface 3 type work. Describe for me just briefly what localization 4 Q. 5 means? 6 Basically you're translating English to Japanese. 7 So anything that may be displayed on a button, a menu, or on 8 the screen is translated from English to Japanese. 9 So they were only given the limited user Q. 10 interface files needed to do that? 11 Α. Correct. 12 And are those user interface files secret? 13 No. Α. 14 Were they under a confidential agreement any ways 15 at the time that they received those? 16 Yes, they were. 17 Is that code that those user interface pieces of Ο. 18 code, is that contained in the VSnap source code? 19 Α. No, that is not. 20 So NetJapan never had access to the VSnap source 21 code? 22 NetJapan never had access to the VSnap source Α. 23 code. MS. SNEDDON: That is all I have for now. 24 25 THE COURT: Cross-examination?

Yeah.

Q.

- A. -- of the VSnap trade secrets?
- Q. Yes. Let me just back up. You understand we're here to talk about the VSnap code?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And there is really two parts to that code. It is the snapshot driver and then the incremental sector tracking, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you had nothing to do with the snapshot driver and developing it or writing the code for it, did you?
- A. I could probably take credit for some of the architecture of the sector tracking.
 - Q. Of the sector but not the actual snapshot driver?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. And I just want to -- I'm trying to be clear because this doesn't come naturally to me, but there are two parts to the trade secret. The snapshot driver, you didn't have anything to do with the development of that?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. The second part of the trade secret, the incremental sector tracking, you feel you can take some ownership for?
 - A. I can take some credit for the architecture, yes.
 - Q. And how can you take credit for the architecture

of that?

- A. Like I said, I was the chief architect at PowerQuest. When we hired StorageCraft to do development, they didn't have the sector tracking technology at that time and I had many conversations with Jamey Kirby about sector tracking.
- Q. So a lot of the earlier versions of the source code that you went through with your counsel don't have sector tracking?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. That came later?
 - A. That came in 2002, later in 2002.
- Q. So a lot of the 11 source codes in there don't have the second half of the trade secret; is that right?
- A. No most -- most probably over half have the sector tracking.
- Q. Now, you were in charge of the technology back in 2004 when Jamey Kirby left STC?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And he did, in fact, pack up the source server from his office in California and send it to you guys in Utah, did he not?
 - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. And, in fact, as the lawsuit went on and got settled, Mr. Gross, his attorney, gave you guys a bunch of

stuff, CDs, other hard copies of stuff that Jamey had packed 1 2 up, sent to his lawyer and said give this to STC, isn't that 3 right? 4 I believe so, yes. 5 Now, we have talked about the two parts of the 6 trade secrets so let's talk about the first part and that is 7 a snapshot driver, right? 8 Uh-huh (affirmative), yes. 9 And Microsoft, as we know, offers a snapshot Q. 10 driver? 11 Yes. Α. And it is called the VSS? 12 13 Well, that is the framework. The driver is 14 actually called Volsnap. 15 Fair enough. So it is called Volsnap. And that has been free with every Microsoft product for the last 10 16 17 years, correct? 18 It has been free with anything after Windows 2000. So XP and 2003. 19 20 0. So Volsnap was in XP. When did that come out? 21 Around 2002, 2000 --Α. 22 Volsnap was in Windows 2003? Ο. 23 Yes. Α.

I can guess when that came out. What else was

24

25

Q.

the Volsnap in?

Actually at install time, they can choose not to

Instead go with the Microsoft driver?

Yes, but with limited functionality.

22

23

24

25

use our snapshot driver.

Q.

Α.

- snapshot driver, correct?
 - I believe so, yes.
- And you think there are likely other companies -strike that. Are there other companies as well that use snapshot driver different than the STC snapshot driver?
 - Α. I think so.

21

22

23

24

25

Can you name those for the jury? Q.

Α.	I	can	't

- Q. So we have three that you can name and you think there are others but you don't know what they are?
 - A. No.
- Q. Now, incremental sector tracking, you are not able to tell if the ActiveImage Protector product uses STC source code, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In fact, without looking at the code, and without reverse engineering, it would be very difficult to know, wouldn't it?
 - A. If it used the source code? Yes.
- Q. Right. I mean you would have to compare the source code or reverse engineer otherwise you can't really know; isn't that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And it is also certainly possible for someone to write incremental tracking software, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you don't know whether in this case or not, Bob Campbell at LeapFrog Development did that, do you?
 - A. Correct.
 - O. You know he testified he did?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And you don't have any idea whether or not his

Α.

I don't recall.

Mr. Barnes, could you turn to Exhibit 218. It is in the blue binder which you don't have. Would you turn to page -- it is actually Exhibit 214, I'm sorry.

Mr. Barnes, do you recognize that as your declaration that you filed in this case?

> Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And it is signed by you under oath? Ο.
- Α. Yes.
- In Paragraph 18 you state, although two other Q. competitors have more recently released products that include some form of incremental tracking without a license from STC, those products did not exist in March of 2009, and likewise do not appear to accomplish sector tracking in the same way. Do you see that?
 - Yes, I do. Α.
- Does that refresh your recollection on what those other two companies were that would have developed incremental sector tracking?
- I remember one, I think it was CA from Computer Associates. I don't remember the other.
- O. Okay. So we have Acronis has incremental sector tracking, we have Computer Associates with incremental sector tracking, and we have another one that you can't recall with incremental sector tracking; is that right?
 - Α. Correct.

Case 2:08-cv-00921-DN	Document 308	Filed 09/19/12	PageID.4317	Page 143 of
		177		

- Now you don't -- strike that. Mr. Barnes, you Q. have filed for patents before, haven't you?
 - Yes, I have. Α.
 - In fact, you have done it recently, haven't you?
 - Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- And you don't -- strike that. Well, I'll ask it this way. You or STC does not have a patent on incremental sector tracking; is that correct?
 - Α. Correct.
- Are you aware if a patent exists for incremental sector tracking?
- I would assume there is something out there. There is a lot of patents.
- So your assumption is incremental sector tracking has been patented by somebody besides you guys?
 - We don't have a patent on incremental tracking.
- My question was, do you know if a patent on incremental sector tracking exists?
 - Not specifically, no.
- MR. ENSOR: Okay. Your Honor, I have marked as Exhibit 222, a document that I would like to hand to the witness and have admitted.
 - THE COURT: You may approach.
- 24 (By Mr. Ensor) Can I have that back, Mr. Barnes. Q. 25 Thank you. Good idea. Mr. Barnes, I'm going to hand you

- patent, is it not?
 - Α. It is.
 - Q. And have you seen this document before?
- Α. I have.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And when did you see it? Ο.
- I saw it yesterday. Α.
- And do you have any reason to doubt the validity 0. of this patent?
 - Α. No.
 - In fact, it appears to have a website address Q.

1	from the United States Patent Office on the bottom line,
2	does it not?
3	A. Yes, it does.
4	MR. ENSOR: I move to admit Exhibit 223, Your Honor.
5	MS. SNEDDON: Your Honor, we object. This appears to
6	be an IBM patent that has nothing to do with our company.
7	It has nothing to do with the VSnap source code. It is
8	irrelevant.
9	THE COURT: Overruled. The exhibit is received.
10	(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 223 was received
11	into evidence.)
12	Q. (By Mr. Ensor) Now Mr. Barnes, um Mr. Barnes,
13	as we talked, about as your lawyer mentioned, this is a
14	patent held by IBM, correct?
15	A. Correct.
16	Q. It is a patent that was issued, it looks like it
17	was filed on June 2nd, 2003. Do you see that?
18	A. I do.
19	Q. And this patent, and you're welcome I assume
20	you flipped through it yesterday.
21	A. I read the abstract.
22	Q. Did you?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. This patent talks about making incremental
25	back-ups, correct?

A. It does.

- Q. And it talks about, generally speaking, doing it the way you guys do it, correct?
 - A. No, it is a lot more than what we do.
- Q. It talks about you take the big back-up and then you just take -- you just take out what has been changed and you just -- you make the back-ups of that; is that correct?
- A. Not this patent. This patent is actually making the back-up as part of the process. What we just track the sector tracking just tracks the sectors, and then the product actually comes in and backs it up. This one actually is backing the sectors up at the time that it is doing the tracking.
- Q. So for this back-up you take the full picture and you take the incrementals and then you're actually copying the incrementals at well?
- A. Right. So this patent is actually doing the back-up as part of its patent.
- Q. And this back-up, the abstract, talks about how incremental sector tracking is done, does it not?
 - A. It uses sector tracking, yes.
- Q. And it provides how -- I'm going to get a little lost in the technology here, but the whole idea is you identify which data is, I think you guys sometimes call it dirty, right? I mean if there is a change somewhere in the

system, it marks the block as dirty or used or whatever, and then you just you copy that, right?

A. Yeah.

- Q. And that is part of the idea that this patent addresses, does it not?
 - A. Part of it, yeah.
- Q. When you see that right here, where it talks about block level operations are tracked within a storage subsystem, metadata corresponding to the block level operations are collected in the storage subsystem between snapshot, i.e., back-up instances, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. That is talking about taking the used parts and just making a back-up of that, right?
 - A. Yeah, basically just talking about back-up.
- Q. And that is the idea behind your incremental sector tracking?
 - A. Yeah, tracking what has changed.
- Q. What do you -- you know Bob Campbell works for LeapFrog, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you know that he states he developed the incremental sector tracking himself for the ActiveImage Protector product, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1	Q. What else do you do you know how he was
2	trained other than what you have read in his deposition?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Do you know who he worked for other than what you
5	have read in his deposition?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Do you know anything about Bob Campbell other
8	than what you read in his deposition?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Did you even bother to go to his deposition so
11	you could have your counsel ask questions that you thought
12	might be relevant?
13	A. I didn't go to his deposition, no.
14	Q. You didn't go?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Now, you would agree that the compression driver
17	to the extent that Mr. Kirby worked on that for AIP, that
18	had nothing to do with the snapshot driver or the
19	incremental sector tracking, correct?
20	A. Can you be more specific on compression driver?
21	Q. The part of the code that pushes the data all
22	down into something like a zip file?
23	A. Well, there is there is if you can be more
24	specific because there is the compression within VSnap that

manages the bitmaps and then there is compression within the

- Q. In fact, Mr. Kirby is free to work on the snapshot or on incremental sector tracking as long as he doesn't use your technology, right?
 - A. Right.

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Did you review -- your review of the ActiveImage

Protector product, now we know you didn't reverse engineer

it, right?

Case 2	2:08-cv-00921-DN	Document 308		PageID.4324	Page 150 of
			177		
1	Α.	Right.			
2	Q.	And you don't	know if it us	ses your sour	cce code,
3	right?				
4	Α.	Right.			
5	Q.	Did you look	at version 1.0	of the Acti	iveImage
6	Protector?				
7	Α.	I did not.			
8	Q.	What version	did you look a	at?	
9	Α.	The version -	- it was from	2010.	
10	Q.	Do you rememb	er what version	on it was?	
11	Α.	I don't remem	ber.		
12	Q.	That was an E	nglish version	n, right?	
13	Α.	Yes.			
14	Q.	Now you actua	lly have a ver	rsion earlie	r than that
15	that was re	eleased from J	apan, don't yo	ou?	
16	Α.	We may.			
17	Q.	You just don'	t remember buy	ying a copy o	of the
18	ActiveImage	e Protector wh	en it first ca	ame out?	
19	Α.	I don't recal	1.		
20	Q.	So you didn't	run a search	on that, you	ı didn't do
21	your compan	rison in regar	ds to ActiveIn	mage Protecto	or 1.0?
22	Α.	No.			
23	Q.	And that woul	d tell you, wo	ouldn't it, I	I mean if
24	the eviden	ce shows that	Mr. Kirby did	n't have much	ı to do
25	with that,	and the secto	r tracking sti	ill looks in	your view

similar, well then, you know, the ActiveImage Protector 1 2 isn't using your product; isn't that right? 3 Um, yeah. Α. You talked with your counsel about Exhibit 33 4 5 here? 6 Yes. Α. 7 And that comes directly from the Crocker disk 0. 8 that your counsel showed you, right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And the Crocker disk contained 80 -- close to Q. 11 80,000 documents? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And you're aware that the Crocker disk isn't even 14 the entirety of Mr. Kirby's PST file that he gave 15 Mr. Crocker, right? Mr. Kirby's PST file, the entire file, 16 is not on the Crocker disk, right? 17 Um, I don't know. Α. Your understanding is that Mr. Crocker deleted a 18 19 bunch of e-mails before he sent that PST file to his 20 counsel, right? 21 That is what he says, yes. Α. 22 So originally there were more than 80,000 Ο. e-mails, that would be your understanding, wouldn't it? 23 24 If Crocker is right, yes. Α.

And out of the 80,000 e-mails you found 11 copies

25

Q.

- of the VSnap code?
- 2 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And each code is close to a thousand pages?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. So 11,000 pages of these boxes are just the 11 source codes; is that correct?
 - A. No, I believe that contains all of the -- all of the results from all of them. So there are partial copies as well as e-mails.
 - Q. Okay. Let me be a little more specific.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. So 11,000 pages of that, more or less, is just the 11 full copies of the source code?
 - A. Yes, correct.
 - Q. Okay. And when you were at PowerQuest, the source code was e-mailed back and forth frequently, was it not?
 - A. That is how it was delivered.
 - Q. Right. And we saw some of those e-mails your counsel walked you through Jamey Kirby's e-mailing it to I quess one of your colleagues named Nate Bushman?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. At PowerOuest?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And so it would go back and forth that way,

right?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Presumably hundreds of times?
- A. Probably not hundreds, but yeah a lot of times.
- Q. And of the -- you only found 11 on the Crocker disk, right?
- A. I only found 11. But keep in mind that most of Jamey's sent e-mails were not included in that. I did not find very many e-mails that Jamey was actually the sender. So most of those were missing.
 - Q. Possibly deleted?
 - A. Possibly deleted.
- Q. Okay. And you would have -- so many times the source code would have gone back and forth between PowerQuest and Mr. Kirby and I call him Max because I'm going to mess up his last name.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you would also expect that many times

 Mr. Kirby and Max would send the e-mails back and forth to
 each other?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And so we know 11,000 pages of that is just those 11 copies of the source code. So that leaves about 8,000 pages. And your counsel said every single copy dealt with the VSnap code. That is not every single document in there

relates to the VSnap code, does it?

- A. Every single document had the VSnap search term related in it somehow. So I assume VSnap is either in the attachment or the e-mail or the subject. It probably has to do with the VSnap source code.
- Q. But some of them are pretty innocuous, right? They don't really say anything?
 - A. Yeah.

- Q. For example, let me show you a page from Exhibit 33. Sure enough this uses the word VSnap, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Doesn't attach any source code, does it?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you have any idea how many of those remaining 8,000 pages are e-mails like this that don't attach the source code?
 - A. I don't.
- Q. Now, going back to your analysis on ActiveImage

 Protector, we know you can't know if the source code is

 being used without doing a comparison. But tell me what you

 did do?
 - A. We put -- again, I saw the product side-by-side.
- Q. Let me just shorten it. I'm really focused on your expert opinion about the incremental sector tracking.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. I just want to hear what you did to back-up your conclusion that it does it in a similar way?
- A. When you set up to create a back-up, and you create a full back-up, and then you make changes and then you tell it to make another back-up or an incremental back-up, the time to prepare to make that back-up and then the output of the file of those back-ups were very similar. So both the time that it takes and contrast that with the Acronis, Acronis takes a lot longer, where ActiveImage Protector and ShadowProtect take just about the same amount of time to prepare and then back-up the incremental data.
- Q. So mainly the similarity is in the time that it takes to back-up the product?
 - A. To prepare to back-up and then to back-up, yes.
- Q. So that is the basis for your opinion that the products are similar?
 - A. That is one basis, yes.
- Q. Okay. What is -- and you have a second basis it sounds like?
 - A. Basically that the way they're used as well.
- Q. So I'm sticking on the incremental sector tracking, so I don't want to get off track. No pun intended.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. So for incremental sector tracking, it is the

1 time?

- A. It is the time and the output.
- Q. And when you say output, what do you mean by that?
- A. The file that is created. In other words, the data that is tracked by both technologies appears to be very -- the same, the same data or very close to the same data.
 - Q. And how do you -- how do you know that?
 - A. You can see the file.
 - Q. So --
 - A. You can compare the file sizes.
- Q. So your two basis for the belief that it is possible the source code is being used is one they back-up about the same amount of time; and two, that the file, the back-up file, is about the same size?
- A. They prepare the data to be backed up in the same amount of time so they can quickly figure out what is changed so that they're tracking it definitely and in a smart way, and the time it takes to back-up and then the -- the output, the file that is created.
 - Q. The output, it is just the size, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You know, your back-up, STC's back-up on an incremental basis may be I'm going to get this wrong, but a

1 millobyte?

- A. A megabyte.
 - Q. A megabyte?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And ActiveImage Protector, when they run their back-up, it is somewhere around the same size?
 - A. Very close to the same exact size.
- Q. And I mean you would expect that though it is similar, right, because if you're reviewing incremental tracking, you're only taking the used or the dirty parts of the -- of the operating system or --
 - A. File system.
- Q. -- file system, sorry. And if you're only taking those in, you're only copying those, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So at some level, you know, incremental sector tracking is going to pick out these four things that have been used, smush them down and back it up, and that is going to be the size?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And another incremental sector tracking program might figure out also these four things have been used and smush them down and it would be about the same size?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So that doesn't really surprise you, does it?

- 1 Not that they're the same size, but the fact that 2 those three factors that the preparation time is the same, 3 and the length of time it takes to back-up and then the 4 size. 5 The length of time it takes to back-up, that is -- that is also done by the compression engine, right? 6 7 No, it is not. You actually have to read in an 8 intelligent way the sectors that have changed. 9 Those three things, we have talked about them Q. 10 now, but those all relate to incremental sector tracking? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And that is the basis for your entire belief that 13 they're similar? 14 Α. Yes. 15 But you don't have any idea whether or not 16 NetJapan or LeapFrog used any STC source code in producing 17 that product? 18 Α. I don't. 19 It is a little bit off topic, the ColdSnap product, you helped develop that, right? 20 21 Α. Yes.
 - Q. And you did that while you were at STC?
 - A. It was a collaboration with Jamey, talking about different possibilities, yes.
 - Q. You did that when, in 2004?

23

24

2000 -- it would be 2000 is when I think it first 1 Α. 2 came up. 3 The year 2000? Q. 2002. 4 Α. 5 2002. And when was it ready to go? Ο. 6 2004. 2003, 2004. Α. 7 Your counsel talked to you about -- your lawyers Ο. 8 that your lawyer had mentioned to you he sent me a letter 9 saying hey, have Rectiphy get the source code and we'll 10 compare it against our source code. You talked about that 11 with Ms. Sneddon, didn't you? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And now I mean, you know, obviously Jamey Kirby 14 is not NetJapan, right? 15 I'm not sure what the relationship is. 16 pretty complex, I think. 17 Well, as far as you know, he is not an owner or officer of NetJapan. That is right, isn't it? 18 19 I think he has been paid by NetJapan so I think 20 there is a relationship there. 21 Fair enough. That really wasn't my question. I Q. 22 mean STC pays a lot of people, right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 They pay Mr. Karrenberg, don't they? Q. 25 Yes. Α.

I mean all you know is that this was a copy of

I don't know.

Α.

Q.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15 minutes.

the PST file and I mean you're not looking at Mr. Kirby's e-mail, you're looking at everything that might have been on the PST file? Right, I don't know. And finally, your e-mail searches you mentioned again I'm going to use their first names for the Russian engineers? Α. Okay. You found a lot more e-mails from Max than you Q. did from Alexey and more e-mails from Alexey than you did from Denis; is that right? I think -- yeah. I don't remember. Max yes, a lot more, and then somebody was 606. So --Ο. That was Denis? Denis, yeah. Isn't that consistent with your recollection that Max was more involved in the software development of VSnap than the other two Russian engineers? I'm not sure what it means. Certainly Max speaks English better so he generally interfaced with anybody that spoke English. The other two didn't speak English as well. MR. ENSOR: Thank you. THE COURT: Do you have very long on redirect?

MS. SNEDDON: Your Honor, I would say maybe 10 or

THE COURT: I would really like to finish this witness 1 2 before we break for lunch, so let's go ahead. 3 MS. SNEDDON: Sure. I'll try to be quick here. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 BY MS SNEDDON: 6 Mr. Barnes, do you remember Mr. Ensor asking you 7 about several different products that also have incremental 8 sector tracking? 9 Α. Yes. 10 I think he identified one from Computer Q. 11 Associates, one from Symantec that does not use the licensed 12 copy of VSnap, one from Acronis, and then one other one that 13 you weren't able to recall. So I think that is four? 14 Well, the one from Symantec that does not use our 15 technology does not have incremental tracking. Okay. So really we're talking about three? 16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Is that right? 19 Α. Okay. 20 So of those three, which one had a product that was out in March of 2009 with incremental sector tracking 21 22 when ActiveImage Protector came out? 23 CA and Acronis. Α. 24 And do you know anything about CA's incremental 25 sector tracking?

I don't. 1 Α. 2 I want to also bring your attention to the patent Q. 3 that Mr. Ensor had you take a look at. It was Exhibit 223. 4 Α. Yes. 5 This is the IBM patent. Patents are something Q. 6 that give you protection over an idea, right? 7 Α. Right. They don't patent source code, right? This isn't 8 0. 9 a patent over source code? No, it is not. 10 Α. 11 And STC actually has a copyright over the VSnap 12 source code, correct? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Margaret, can you pull up Exhibit 44. And you 15 know that VSnap was written and developed by StorageCraft, Inc. and you had some role in that in 2000, 2001, 2002, 16 17 right? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And if you will take a look at this, the 20 copyright, you go to the second page, it is shown right 21 there in the corner it has a date of April 12, 2005, right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And that was when STC obtained the copyright in Ο.

24

25

the VSnap source code?

Yes.

Α.

Do you know what prior art is?

- 177
- A. Yes, I do.

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What is it?
- A. It is work that has been done before you have done your work. So prior art in the patents means somebody had the idea before you did, or similar ideas before you did.
 - Q. Would VSnap qualify as prior art?
 - A. Yes, it would.
- Q. If you take a look at the patent that Mr. Ensor handed you, this is a patent dated June 27, 2006, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So that is a patent that was after you got a copyright in VSnap source code, right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, Mr. Ensor asked you some questions about whether or not you know for sure that the VSnap source code is in ActiveImage Protector source code?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you haven't been able to do a code comparison as we have discussed, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. The request that was made to Rectiphy and to
 Mr. Ensor was ignored, we did not get a copy of their source
 code to compare through a third-party expert, correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. But nevertheless, you still did your comparison between the two products and you believe that ActiveImage Protector contains VSnap or used it to at least improve its technology?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And what is the basis for that?
- A. Speed to market. VSnap, the snapshot and the incremental technology, really took quite a few engineers over a year to develop. So basically four engineers working over a year to develop. So speed to market was one of them. And then the similarities were -- it was very similar.
- Q. And he also talked to you about running searches on Microsoft Outlook. And you mentioned that you can't do the same or you couldn't view the contents of the Crocker disk in Microsoft Outlook because of the way in which they were produced to us by Mr. Crocker's counsel, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. But if I recall your testimony correctly, you do -- can you do basically the same searches in that software that you do in Microsoft Outlook, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. In fact, doing a search for just the term VSnap turns up 19,000 pages of material from that disk, right?
 - A. Right.

- Q. And can you perform that same search in Microsoft Outlook?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Mr. Ensor also mentioned that you didn't know if any of the VSnap e-mails were contained maybe in a deleted items folder or archive folder, do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you know that Mr. Kirby is a talented software developer and architect, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you weren't here for it, but he testified extensively about his experience. Would you expect that he knows how to use Microsoft Outlook pretty well?
 - A. I know he knows how to use it very well.
 - Q. How do you know that?
- A. Well, I recall a meeting in 2003 in his offices in Palomar Mountain where we talked specifically about him using Outlook as basically his life's organizer, his calendar, his e-mail. Anything that he wanted to keep he would actually e-mail himself and he actually talked about the PST file, his PST file being over a gigabyte at that time.
- Q. So if you have that much e-mail, and you use it to calendar your life and organize your life, you know that if you delete an e-mail, it ends up in your deleted items

- Q. (By Ms. Sneddon) When you're doing a search in Outlook, does it matter if there are 80,000 e-mails in your e-mail folder or a thousand e-mails in your e-mail folder?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Can you run the same search?
- A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O. Does it take about the same amount of time?
- A. The more e-mails the longer, but it is still under a minute usually.

- idea of incremental sector tracking was public domain by 2003, correct?
 - Α. The idea, yes.

24

25

And IBM sets out that idea in 11 pages? Q.

- A. In 11 pages.
- Q. You added a new reason why you think maybe the STC source code is being used. That is speed to market, right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Now, we know that LeapFrog didn't have to develop the Volsnap because Microsoft had already done that, right?
- A. Well, the product I tested it had some form of VSnap, some form of snapshot to support Windows 2000.
- Q. Right. Let's put Windows 2000 aside. That was 12 years ago and we can talk about that with your counsel on redirect. But with the exception of Windows 2000, and all of the other versions we went through, it was using Volsnap, right?
- A. The product I tested worked on Windows 2000, it did not use Volsnap.
 - Q. Correct. But for the other versions of Windows?
- A. Yes. For the other versions of Windows it used Volsnap.
 - Q. That doesn't surprise you, does it?
 - A. No.
- Q. I mean it is a publicly free snapshot driver from Microsoft, right?
- A. Well, it is not free. It is part of the operating system.

	177				
1	Q. Fair enough. When you buy Windows, you get it?				
2	A. Yes.				
3	Q. And so LeapFrog didn't have to develop that?				
4	A. For those. But again, I said it worked on				
5	Windows 2000.				
6	Q. I understand there is an old operating system				
7	that it works on that they had to develop one for. I get				
8	it. But for all of the other more current, the five or six				
9	more current versions of Windows, there was no development				
10	time, it was already done by Microsoft in regard to the				
11	snapshot driver, right?				
12	A. Yes.				
13	Q. And you're aware Mr. Campbell testified it took				
14	18 months to get everything done, right?				
15	A. Yes.				
16	Q. You consider that too fast?				
17	A. I do.				
18	Q. Well, when we talked about how a lot of the early				
19	versions of the VSnap there didn't have incremental sector				
20	tracking, right?				
21	A. Correct.				
22	Q. And incremental sector tracking wasn't added				
23	until 2002, right?				
24	A. It was being worked on, but it wasn't added to				

the product until 2002.

1 Well, it didn't take 18 months to develop the 2 incremental sector tracking, did it? 3 Well, in development terms we talk about Α. man-hours. So Robert Campbell was one developer, and we had 4 5 four developers. In fact, two of which were Microsoft MVP 6 -- DDK MVPs. 7 Well, the incremental tracking was requested by 8 PowerQuest, right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 And the PowerQuest license wasn't even until June 11 of 2002, right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And I can show you Exhibit 164, if you want, but 14 that is the latest copy of the Volsnap in there? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Sorry, of the VSnap in there. It says it is 17 almost done. 18 Α. Yes. 19 So it certainly took less than a year from the 20 beginning of the PowerQuest license to get the incremental 21 sector tracking in there, didn't it? 22 Four engineers, yes, less than a year. 23 terms of man years, and that is how we measure software 24 development.

Four engineers, okay. How much was Alexey

25

Q.

Case 2:08-cv-00921-DN Document 308 Filed 09/19/12 PageID.4347 Page 173 of

1 part of the public domain? 2 It does not. Α. 3 MS. SNEDDON: That is all I have. THE COURT: Any follow up on that, Mr. Ensor? 4 5 MR. ENSOR: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: We'll be in recess until 20 'til one. A 1 1 7 rise. 8 (Whereupon, the jury left the courtroom.) 9 THE COURT: We're going to print two copies of the 10 exhibit list, one for each side, so that you can look at it 11 and see what we have marked as received. And then if you 12 check that before we come back in half an hour I would 13 appreciate it. You have your expert? 14 MR. KARRENBERG: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: And you have a witness? 16 MR. ENSOR: I do, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: And is there anything we need to talk about before we break? 18 19 MR. KARRENBERG: I believe so, sir. 20 MR. ENSOR: Just the briefs we filed last night about 21 whether or not Mr. Kilbourne's testimony is --22 THE COURT: I have read the briefs. I have read cases 23 from both briefs. I am going to permit Mr. Kilbourne's 24 testimony. I recognize you'll have other motions based on 25 these principles, but I am going to permit -- this case is

going to the jury full throttle. And I may be reserving some decisions about what is justified and not, but I think given particularly the language in the trade secret act, in 1324(4), royalty damages are authorized if there is disclosure or use. And I'm going to live by that. So Kilbourne's testimony is going to come in and it will go to the jury.

MR. ENSOR: Your Honor, I'm going to move on the contract claim as STC has not presented any damages on that. I don't know if you want to excuse the jury when he rests his case.

THE COURT: We only have the expert left. I think you can make your motion right now. We can deal with it in two minutes from each side.

MR. ENSOR: Your Honor, I would be glad to do that.

My motion is very simple. The court is very well aware
there is four elements to any breach of contract to any
breach of contract claim. One of the mandatory elements is
damages. STC has not even attempted to prove damages caused
from the breach of contract. Their next witness is only
going to talk about a reasonable royalty under the trade
secret act, Your Honor. For that reason, the claim fails
and it should be dismissed.

THE COURT: Any response from plaintiff?

MR. KARRENBERG: Yes, Your Honor, actual damages and

for consequential damages and we have some cases that Ms. Heather --

THE COURT: I don't need cases.

MR. KARRENBERG: -- that says a reasonable royalty can be paid for a breach of contract. He had a contract not to use it, and he ended up using it. If he would have had it legally, he would have got it through having to pay it. And there would have been at least minimal reasonable royalty.

THE COURT: I am going to permit the issue of the contract damages to go to the jury. So that motion will be treated as if made at the close of plaintiff's case and has been made now.

MR. ENSOR: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. KARRENBERG: And Judge, just a quick proffer on the issue we talked about when the jury went out. We would have, based on Mr. Kirby opening up the area of comparing screenshots, we would have had Mr. Barnes say that the screenshots for the user interface from the AIP product and the ShadowProtect product are exactly the same.

THE COURT: And the --

MR. KARRENBERG: That is just a proffer.

THE COURT: The proffer is now on the record for your appeal to the much smarter judges. We're in recess.

MR. KARRENBERG: I'm not going to comment on that, Judge. Thank you, sir.

Case 2	:08-cv-00921-DN Document 308 Filed 09/19/12 PageID.4351 Page 177 of			
	177			
1	STATE OF UTAH)			
2)ss			
3	COUNTY OF SALT LAKE)			
4				
5	I, Laura W. Robinson, Certified Shorthand			
6	Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public			
7	within and for the County of Salt Lake, State of Utah, do			
8	hereby certify:			
9	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before			
10	me at the time and place set forth herein and were taken			
11	down by me in shorthand and thereafter transcribed into			
12	typewriting under my direction and supervision;			
13	That the foregoing pages contain a true and			
14	correct transcription of my said shorthand notes so taken.			
15	In witness whereof I have subscribed my name and			
16	affixed my seal this 14th day of September, 2012.			
17				
18				
19	Laura W. Robinson, CSR, RPR, CP			
20	and Notary Public			
21				
22	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:			
23	February 19, 2013			
24				
25				